1	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
2	REGION 5
3	IN THE MATTER OF:
4	ROBERT J. HESER, ANDREW) DOCKET NO. HESER and HESER FARMS) CWA-05-2006-0002
5	Respondents.)
6	Proceeding to Assess a Class II) Civil Penalty Under Section)
7	309(g) of the Clean Water Act,) 33 U.S.C. Section 1319(g).
8	33 0.3.c. Section 1317(g).
9	
10	Hearing held pursuant to notice, on Tuesday
11	March 27, 2007 at the hour of 9:30 a.m. at Clinton
12	County Courthouse, 850 Fairfax, Carlyle, Illinois,
13	before HONORABLE WILLIAM B. MORAN, United States
14	Administrative Law Judge.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	SULLIVAN REPORTING CO., By H. Lori Bernardy, Reporter
24	CSR# 084-004126

1	APPEARANCES:
2	CHARLES J. NORTHRUP, ESQ. SORLING, NORTHRUP, HANNA, CULLEN, COCHRAN, LTD
3	Suite 800 Illinois Building 607 East Adams Street
4	Springfield, Illinois 62701
5	- and -
6	BRADLEY W. SMALL, ESQ. MATHIS, MARIFIAN, RICHTER & GRANDY, LTD.
7	23 Public Square, Suite 300 P. O. Box 307
8	Belleville, Illinois 62220
9	(Appearing on behalf of Respondents.)
10	THOMAS J. MARTIN, ESQ. Associate Regional Counsel
11	77 W. Jackson Blvd. (C-14J) Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590
12	- and -
13	CHRISTINE PELLEGRIN, ESQ.
14	Associate Regional Counsel 77 W. Jackson Blvd. (C-14J)
15	Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590
16	(Appearing on behalf of the U. S.
17	Environmental Protection Agency.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

INDEX WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECTRECROSS WARD LENZ By Mr. Martin 4
By Mr. Northrup JOAN ROGERS By Ms. Pellegrin 141 By Mr. Northrup (Voir Dire) 167 By Mr. Small INDEX EXHIBITS MARKED ADMITTED Complainant's Exhibit 8(partial) Complainant's Demonistrative A Heser Exhibit 25A Heser Exhibit 25B

21	
22	
23	
24	
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	4
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE MORAN: Good morning. We're ready for
3	our second day here.
4	Go ahead.
5	MR. MARTIN: Complainant calls Ward Lenz from
6	the Army Corps of Engineers.
7	JUDGE MORAN: Mr. Lenz, you're still under
8	oath.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	WARD LENZ,
11	having been first duly sworn by the Administrative
12	Law Judge, witnesseth and saith as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONT'D.
14	BY MR. MARTIN:
15	Q. Good morning.
16	A. Good morning.
17	Q. Yesterday we talked a little bit about
18	your February 10th, 2000 inspection of the alleged

- 19 violation site and went over some of the photos that
- you witnessed.
- 21 Could you please describe the conditions
- of the site as you observed them on that day?
- 23 A. Okay. Well, the site -- it was disturbed
- 24 site. So going out there first of all I had to

- determine from the aerial photograph where the
- 2 activity had occurred at.
- 3 I had aerial photographs that had the
- 4 timber area on it and from there I had to determine
- 5 where was the disturbed site was. And I had to apply
- 6 what's called atypical procedures in accordance with
- 7 the 1987 Manual when I did my sampling, had to
- 8 determine, like I said yesterday, jurisdiction is the
- 9 first thing.
- 10 Q. Mr. Lenz, there is a reference to a slide
- 11 review in your field notes. What did that entail?
- 12 A. Prior to going to the site, I went to the
- 13 NRCS field office in Salem just to look at some of
- 14 their resource maps. I spoke to the district
- 15 conservationist there and the resource
- 16 conservationist.

17	The district conservationist, Tony
18	Antonacci, and Brett Davies who is a resource
19	conservationist with the Soil and Water Conservation
20	District, and had them show me some slides and aerial
21	photographs of the site.
22	And they showed me where it was, what
23	they had seen out at the site and get an idea of what
24	had happened and where the activity occurred on the
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	6
1	site.
2	And so I had an idea prior to going there
3	as to what I was walking into to, what kind of
4	situation, what to look for, where the cleared areas
5	where.
6	Q. Was anyone else from the Corps of
7	Engineers with you?
8	A. Yes, Katherine Kelly.
9	Q. What are the source of the aerial
10	photographs that you looked at?
11	A. What are the source of the aerial
12	photographs?
13	Q. Which agency compiled the aerial
14	photographs that you looked at?

15	A. These are from the USDA Natural Resources
16	Conservation Service. They supplied the aerial
17	photographs.
18	Q. And describe what happened during this
19	slide review?
20	A. During the slide review, I was looking at
21	the just the area in general to see what it looked
22	like. Was it wooded, cropped or what?
23	And what I saw in the aerial photographs
24	the site was in fact wooded, a timber area on the
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	7
1	aerial photographs. And you can see the stream
2	channel, where it was on the aerial photographs, so I
3	knew where I needed to go to and look out on the
4	site.
5	Q. How many different years of aerial
6	photographs did you review?
7	A. I don't recall. It was several historic
8	photographs. I don't really recall how many it was.
9	Q. Did you obtain any aerial photographs?

Q. Mr. Lenz, did you obtain any aerial 11 photographs from this visit?

12

A. Pardon?

13	A. Yes. I got aerial photographs to take
14	out to the field with me.
15	Q. What years were those from?
16	A. I believe they were they were in the

- 17
- '90s. I would say '98, '93, they were in the '90s.
- Q. Going back to your observations at the 18
- site, how could you tell that the site had been 19
- 20 altered?
- A. Well, first of all when I arrived out on 21
- the site, the timber that I saw in the aerial 22
- 23 photographs was not there. And then walking right
- 24 out to the actual location on the ground, I could

- still see remnants -- well, when you clear an area 1
- 2 you still got roots popping up through the soil and
- 3 things like that.
- It takes quite a few years for that to 4
- 5 really disappear. You can see depression areas here
- and there where you've taken out stumps and pushed 6
- things around. So it had I believe visible 7
- 8 characteristics where you could see that things had
- 9 been manipulated and cleared.
- 10 Q. Was any natural vegetation remaining at

- 11 the site of the alleged violation during your
- 12 inspection?
- A. No, there was not.
- 14 Q. Based on your observations of the site
- was the hydrology of the site altered?
- 16 A. The hydrology of the site was altered,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q. Describe how it was altered?
- 19 A. It was altered because first of all the
- vegetation had been removed, that's going to alter
- 21 hydrology. Filling in the stream channel alters
- 22 hydrology.
- Just moving the earth around and grading
- 24 the area, the low spots are brought up higher and the

- 1 high spots are brought lower, that alters the
- 2 hydrology.
- 3 Putting the levee up against the stream
- 4 channel, that alters the hydrology. So there were
- 5 pretty significant alterations throughout that whole
- 6 cleared area.
- 7 Q. What ability would this alteration have
- 8 on your ability to observe positive indicators for

- 9 hydrology of the site?
- 10 A. It makes it much more difficult.
- 11 Q. Is there a section in the Corps of
- 12 Engineers Delineation Manual that applies to
- violation sites like this in this case?
- 14 A. Yes, there is. One of the -- yes, there
- 15 is.
- Q. What is that site?
- 17 A. It's the atypical situations.
- 18 Q. And does that atypical situation -- is
- 19 that a atypical situation method set out specifically
- in the Manual?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Do you know what section that it's set
- 23 out?
- A. No, sir, I don't recall which section.

- 1 Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 40, Complainant's
- 2 Exhibit 40.
- 3 And if I could Mr. Lenz, please point out
- 4 the section that sets out the atypical method?
- 5 A. The atypical situations are in Section F
- 6 on page 83.

- 7 Q. And which Bates Number would that be?
- 8 A. Page 83.
- 9 Q. The Bates Number?
- 10 A. Oh, I'm sorry, 1-1-6-0.
- 11 Q. Thank you. Have you applied this method
- in the field before?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How many times have you applied this
- 15 method?
- 16 A. A number of times. Commonly when we had
- 17 the Enforcement Section in our branch, this was what
- we used all the time.
- 19 And now on enforcement actions, this is
- 20 the procedure we would use. Anytime there's a
- 21 cleared area you have to use it because you're
- 22 missing one or two parameters.
- 23 Generally, you're missing vegetation and
- 24 hydrology could be masked as well. So you're only

- 1 left with maybe one or two of the criteria that are
- left between soils, vegetation and hydrology.
- 3 Q. In general, how is this method applied in
- 4 the field, the atypical situation?

- 5 A. Applying this method in the field, you're
- 6 still using the same methodology that's laid out
- 7 under routine or comprehensive methods.
- 8 The difference between this is it
- 9 realizes the fact that you are missing vegetation or
- soils or hydrology. So you gather as much
- 11 information as you can on the missing parameters.
- 12 For instance, vegetation is gone on the
- 13 site. You could go to an adjacent site to look at
- 14 the vegetation to get an idea of what had been
- 15 present before.
- And what you can get on the site if you
- can get one of the criteria on the site, then you go
- 18 ahead and get it.
- 19 For instance, soil is generally all that
- 20 you're left with on the site. So you gather the
- 21 information from the soil and record that data on
- your data sheets.
- Q. Did you conduct soil sampling at the
- 24 site?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Is there a procedure in the Manual set

- 3 out for how to take soil samples?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Can you locate that section for us,
- 6 please, in the Manual?
- 7 A. Yes, sir. It's actually in two Sections.
- 8 The hydric soil criteria, it starts on Bates Number
- 9 1103. That spells out your hydric soil criteria.
- 10 And then also if you go to Appendix D of
- 11 the Manual, which would be Bates Number 1208, that
- 12 spells out field procedures for actually doing
- 13 sampling.
- Q. You mentioned hydric soil criteria is set
- out starting at 1103?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when does that section end?
- A. Bates Number 1111.
- 19 O. We talked a little bit about the color of
- 20 the soils yesterday. Where are the criteria for
- colors for the soil set out in the 1987 Manual?
- 22 A. They're set out in both of those places
- 23 that I mentioned. The first place where the criteria
- is provided in Bates Number 1108.

1	JUDGE MORAN: 08?
2	THE WITNESS: 1108.
3	JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
4	THE WITNESS: And then it goes on over into
5	Bates Number 1109. This is where it specifically
6	talks about soil color.
7	And then also, if you go to the appendix,
8	it goes into it a little bit more thoroughly on Bates
9	Number 1210.
10	BY MR. MARTIN:
11	Q. Okay, returning back to Bates 1108, can
12	you briefly summarize the analysis that's described
13	for soil color?
14	A. Okay. When you're sampling the soil and
15	you get to the criteria is, if you're looking at
16	these colors at a depth of either 10 inches or at the
17	base of A horizon, which would be your surface layer.
18	You're looking for soil color with a
19	matrix chroma of two or less in the mottled soils and
20	that's when we were talking about when you have gray
21	soil, you've got bright spots or splotches in there
22	or a matrix chroma of one, again, which would be
23	gray. One or less in un-mottled soil.
24	So if you have a two chroma which would

14

1 be like a gray brown kind of a color, you need to

- 2 have mottles present. If you basically have a very
- deep gray soil, you don't have to have those bright
- 4 colored mottles.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: And if you determine, you said
- 6 grade soil --
- 7 THE WITNESS: Gray soils is usually you don't
- 8 have to have the mottles. Not grade, gray.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: Gray?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, gray.
- JUDGE MORAN: And that tells you what, that
- 12 it's hydric soil?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 14 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 15 O. Let's return to the data forms that we
- 16 started discussing yesterday. I think we left off at
- 17 page 118.
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: And this of course is from
- 19 Complainant's Exhibit 8.
- MR. MARTIN: Yes, your Honor.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, which page number?
- MR. MARTIN: Bates 118.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 24 BY MR. MARTIN:

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

- 1 Q. Did you fill out this data form,
- 2 Mr. Lenz?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And where does that data form come from?
- 5 A. This is Transect Number 2.
- 6 Q. I mean the data form itself. Where does
- 7 the form come from?
- 8 A. The form itself? This is a routine data
- 9 form that comes out of the '87 Manual. These data
- 10 forms are provided within the Manual.
- 11 Q. Why did you use the routine form?
- 12 A. I used the routine form because I didn't
- see a need to use any of the other forms. This has
- 14 all the information that I needed in here to fill
- 15 out.
- 16 Q. And what was the primary parameter you
- 17 were looking at during your site inspection?
- 18 A. The primary parameter would be soils just
- because being an atypical situation, the vegetation
- 20 had been cleared and was gone, so I could not look at
- 21 that parameter.
- 22 And hydrology had been altered, so I was
- 23 left with soils.

- 1 locations of these sample points later, but for now
- 2 I'd like for you to go through this data form and
- 3 explain your findings.
- A. Okay, first of all, this is transect 4
- 5 ID 2. I took three transects out on the site as I
- 6 explained yesterday.
- 7 This is transect ID 2, plot ID 3, which I
- 8 believe was on these transect lines, this would have
- been I believe 50 feet further west. 9
- 10 I was on a straight line heading west
- 11 away from the north-south leg of the channel. So
- 12 this is further west than the previous plots.
- 13 The first criteria, vegetation, I left
- that blank. The site, as I said, had been disturbed, 14
- it had been cleared. So I just put a note in there 15
- the site was cleared. 16
- 17 Hydrology, I did mark in there oxidized
- root channels because during soil sampling that was 18
- 19 one of the things noticed in the soil under the
- hydrology section. 20
- 21 If you turn the page to Bates Number 119,

- there's the soil information that I took. O to 22 23 6 inches, that's the A horizon, the top layer. It had a color of 10 YR 3/2 which 2.4 Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
 - basically it tells you it's a black surface color or 1

- 2 a dark colored surface. It's just your surface
- 3 layer, silt loam and texture.
- 4 The next layer -- and that surface layer
- 5 you need to get below that to get any hydric
- 6 criteria.
- 7 6 to 14 inches was the next horizon. And
- that 6 to 14 inch layer, that is where I met hydric 8
- 9 soil criteria on the sample.
- It had a 10 YR 4/2 color, and as I said a 10
- minute ago in the '87 Manual, you need that chroma of 11
- 12 two or less. And this is it right here. That lower
- number is a two. That's the chroma number. 13
- 14 At that chroma, that requires that you
- have those mottles. So if you go on in the next 15
- 16 column, that describes the mottles.
- 17 The CF means common find, and then the
- color 10 YR 4/3. So it had the four as the color 18
- value is the same. That three chroma, that's a 19

- 20 brighter chroma. So there's your bright colored
- 21 mottles.
- 22 And then if you go to the next column,
- 23 FF, that would be few find, and that's an abundance
- 24 and size designation. Color 10 YR 5/4, so it's just

- a different color of mottle, a brighter model, a bit
- 2 more texture.
- 3 And then I went ahead and sampled 14 to
- 4 20 inches, but I had already met my criteria at that
- 5 point.
- 6 Q. Why do you sample to 20 inches?
- 7 A. Pardon?
- Q. Why did you sample to 20 inches?
- 9 A. It's not required in the '87 Manual. I
- 10 believe the Manual only requires 16 inches. But in
- an atypical situation, it's always a good idea to
- sample deeper because you're already in a situation
- 13 that's difficult.
- 14 And you really want to get information on
- 15 the site about what's going on. And there's a
- 16 possibility when you've had bulldozers out on the
- site, too, you could truncate the soil, meaning you

- 18 could have things flip-flopped out there.
- 19 You've got material being pushed around,
- so it's a good idea to go deeper to get an idea of
- 21 what's going on.
- Q. In general, what did your soil boring
- results tell you about how the site was altered?
- A. In general, the soil boring results told

- 1 me it had been graded. There had been -- in some of
- 2 my samples I had notes where I actually saw mixing of
- 3 horizons in here, in some of these.
- 4 Q. Can you explain mixing of horizons?
- 5 A. Out on the site originally, the
- 6 topography is going to be uneven. You're in a wooded
- 7 wetland situation.
- 8 So you have a history on the site of for
- 9 instance, trees will pop over, and where the tree was
- 10 the roots popped out so you've got depression.
- 11 So you've got a series of low and high
- 12 spots throughout the entire area, you have in any
- 13 kind of wooded wetland situation like that.
- 14 So when you grade it level, you've got
- 15 low spots that have been filled in and high spots

- 16 that have been graded. So what happens is in the
- 17 soil you see evidence of that.
- 18 Because you're going to sample down
- 19 through disturbed layers and you may hit the original
- 20 surface 10 inches down lower.
- Or you may find that you think you're
- sampling in an undisturbed area and then all of a
- 23 sudden you find another surface or something where
- you actual have a large section of soil intact pushed

- 1 over on to another.
- 2 So you've just got different things going
- on. That's what mixing is, is where you have soil
- 4 horizons mixing with others surface mixed with
- 5 subsurface horizons and vice versa.
- 6 Q. Okay, thank you. Moving on to Bates 120.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: Just before you do that, I'm
- 8 going to ask a couple questions:
- 9 I'm looking at CX 119, Mr. Lenz.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 11 JUDGE MORAN: I just want the record to be
- 12 explicit about this. You see on page 119, you have
- 13 14 through 20 inches.

14	And then under that, you have the caret
15	symbol, does that mean, for example, that all the
16	results at 14 to 20 inches were the same as any of
17	the above 6 to 14 inches?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	JUDGE MORAN: And would that be true for each
20	of these data forms where you employed that
21	procedure?
22	If one sees a caret sign or half a
23	quotation mark or whatever you want to call it, that
24	means it's the same as that which is immediately
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	21
1	above it.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3	JUDGE MORAN: And when you did these soil
4	analyses, did you do the soil analyses at the spot
5	right then and there? Or do you take this to a lab
6	and do it? How is this done?
7	THE WITNESS: This is all done on-site,
8	nothing is taken to a lab.
9	JUDGE MORAN: And is it done strictly

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

10 visually?

12	JUDGE MORAN: Go ahead.
13	BY MR. MARTIN:
14	Q. And you discussed a little yesterday the
15	Munsell soil chart. Could you explain how that is
16	employed in the field?
17	A. The Munsell color chart, it's a series of
18	paint chips, page by page, which basically those
19	chips have been determined by Hughes values and
20	chroma to represent soils across the United States.
21	So in this area, we only employ probably
22	three or four of the pages out of there.
23	And below each of those color chips is a
24	little hole, also. It allows you when you take a

- 1 sample, I would put the sample under the paint chip,
- 2 look through the hole, and basically match that to
- 3 the color, just like you would matching paint in a
- 4 store for a wall or something.
- 5 Q. And you used the Munsell data chart for
- 6 each data point in this case?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Moving to Bates 120, would you describe
- 9 your observation for vegetation soils and hydrology

- 10 at the site?
- 11 A. Transect 2, plot ID 4, again on the
- 12 vegetation I left that blank because the site had
- 13 been cleared.
- I have a note on there, location 130 feet
- west of plot 3, Transect 2. I made no notes under
- 16 hydrology again because the parameters had been
- 17 altered.
- 18 So if you turn the page to Bates Number
- 19 121, I have the soil section filled out. There I got
- 0 to 6 inches, 10 YR 3/2 which is a surface horizon
- 21 again.
- 22 From 6 to 14 inches, this is the horizon
- 23 that meets the hydric soil criteria. So the color
- 24 was 10 YR 4/1, so I had a matrix color of one which

- 1 meets the hydric soil criteria. And then I also
- 2 noted that there were mottles present.
- I did go down to 36 inches on this boring
- 4 even though I had met the criteria at 6 to 14 inches.
- 5 From 21 to 28 inches, I do have a note on
- 6 there common silt coats. And I put that note in
- 7 there just because silt coats are indicators of water

8	movement.
0	IIIO V CIIICII C .

- 9 So I believe I have several samples here
- 10 where I did report in here silt coats.
- 11 So you have beyond just saturation of the
- soil, you actually have evidence of water movement.
- 13 Q. So that's a positive indicator of
- 14 hydrology?
- 15 A. I met the positive indicator of hydrology
- behind the soil criteria at 6 to 14 inches.
- 17 Q. Turning to your explanation of silt
- 18 coats, is that an indicator of hydrology?
- 19 A. Yes, it is. It's a indicator of
- 20 hydrology in terms of flow. It shows you flow. What
- 21 that is, silt coats, water has moved through the soil
- 22 enough that it has stripped all of the clay off of
- 23 the soil particle itself.
- So all you're left with is the actual

- soil particle which you wouldn't be able to see
- 2 normally except it's over a wide enough area where
- 3 you can see under a ten power lens it would look like
- 4 a whole bunch of sand grains.
- 5 The soil particles that have been

- 6 stripped from water movement. All of the clay has
- 7 been leached off them. And when that happens it's
- 8 only under conditions where you've got water movement
- 9 through there.
- 10 Q. In this case, where would the matter be
- 11 moving?
- 12 A. Well, in this case here you know there's
- 13 water movement. And so the locations of water
- 14 movement -- water is going to move both vertically
- 15 and laterally.
- 16 So in this site here the lateral movement
- 17 probably went into the stream channel to provide some
- of the base flow of the stream.
- 19 Q. Moving on to Bates 122. This is a new
- 20 transect. Can you briefly describe where this
- 21 transect was located?
- 22 A. Transect 3, on that L shaped channel that
- 23 north-south leg again, transects where Transect 1 is
- 24 at the top of the leg, 2 in the middle, 3 is at the

- 1 bottom of the north-south leg.
- 2 So this transect again starts at the
- 3 channel, and then I run a straight line to the west.

- 4 So I'm again, just like on Transect Number 2, I'm
- 5 heading out in the cleared area there. There was
- 6 woods, but now at this time, it was a crop field.
- 7 So I'm heading west into the crop field
- 8 away from the newly constructed channel.
- 9 Q. Could you go through the results of this?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- The vegetation again is left blank
- because the site is disturbed. So this is an
- 13 atypical situation. And I have a note here that my
- 14 first sample point was 25 feet west of top of bank of
- 15 the new channel. So I went out 25 feet from the
- 16 channel to even start sampling.
- 17 My reason for getting away from the
- 18 channel is just because there had been berm and earth
- 19 work and stuff taking place right next to the channel
- and I wanted to get away from that influence.
- I really did not want the sample
- disturbed soil so much as we wanted to get an idea as
- 23 much as I could of undisturbed conditions out there.
- Q. So do I understand that your intent was

- 2 A. Yes, sir. That's why I wanted to get
- 3 away from the channel. In order to make a wetland
- 4 determination -- you're never going to get away from
- 5 the fact that you're going to find disturbance out
- 6 there.
- 7 But I wanted to minimize that as much as
- 8 possible and I knew if I sampled in certain areas out
- 9 there like this, I would get nothing but fill
- 10 material. So in my mind, there was no reason to
- 11 sample there.
- 12 The next section under hydrology, I left
- 13 that blank. If you turn the page --
- Q. When you say you left it blank, does that
- mean you did not observe any evidence of hydrology?
- 16 A. I left it blank just because the site had
- been altered, the hydrology had been altered.
- 18 Q. You looked for evidence of positive
- indication of hydrology, but did not find it?
- A. That's correct.
- On the next page under the soils
- criteria, the surface layer was 0 to 7 inches thick.
- 23 It was a dark surface layer.
- In this case, it did have bright mottles

- 1 right in the surface of the dark surface layer which
- 2 actually probably shows up under the field indicators
- 3 of hydric soils.
- 4 That may have met the requirement right
- 5 there. I would have to check on that. I'm not sure.
- At 7 to 14 inches that did without a doubt meet the
- 7 hydric soil criteria.
- 8 It's a 10 YR 4/1 with that one chroma
- 9 matrix, that makes it right there. And there were
- 10 also mottles in that soil, bright color mottles. And
- 11 that's a common find. 10 YR 5/6, that's that orange
- 12 color.
- 13 Q. The reference in your remark 0 to
- 7 inches some mixing, is that evidence of alteration
- 15 at the site?
- 16 A. Yes, sir. There was mixing. There would
- have been subsoil mixed in with the surface layer
- 18 soils. So that note is there just to show that the
- 19 site had been disturbed.
- 20 We had subsoil within that surface layer.
- 21 So basically a more clay material mixed in with that,
- 22 brown clay material mixed in with that dark surface.
- Q. Okay, Mr. Lenz, moving on to Bates 124,
- 24 Transect 3 B-1?

```
1 A. Yes, sir Transect 3, plot 1B.
```

- 2 Q. Could you describe your findings on this
- 3 data form?
- A. Okay, on this data form, again, I left
- 5 the vegetation block blanked. I have a note site
- 6 disturbed. I do have a note location-wise 110 feet
- 7 from the fence line.
- 8 The hydrology blocks, I did check
- 9 secondary hydrology indicator, oxidized root
- 10 channels. Now I checked that after doing the soil
- 11 boring though, because that's an indicator that you
- don't see unless you're doing your soil analysis.
- So a lot of times what I'll do is I'll
- 14 make a note under the soil section for that criteria
- and then I'll go back and mark it over here. Because
- it's not evidence unless you're doing sampling.
- 17 Q. So the notation of the presence of
- 18 oxidized root channels could be located in the
- 19 hydrology section or the soil section?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 21 Then if you turn the page to Bates 125,
- 22 that's the soils parameter. 0 to 8 inches, again
- dark surface soil 10 YR 3/2.
- Now in this sample, in this soil boring,

1	I also made a remark down here that in that 0 to
2	8 inches, it actually had charcoal within it, which
3	would be evidence that the woody debris had been
4	burnt on the site and mixed in. After it had been
5	burnt it mixed in and incorporated into the soil.
6	From 8 to 21 inches, that's what meets
7	the hydric soil criteria right there, that 10 YR $4/1$,
8	one chroma matrix, it's that gray color from the
9	hydric soils right there. And also had a common find
10	10 YR 5/6 mottles which would be orange modeling.
11	Again here, I had a note here on silt
12	coats which would be a indicator of water movement.
13	Q. Mr. Lenz, at the top of the box on page
14	125, you have a notation regarding the map unit name?
15	Why did you do this?
16	A. This boring here I thought at the time
17	that I was in that Hoyleton unit with a birds
18	inclusion.
19	Birds would be that typically that
20	darker surface with that 10 YR 4/1 gray sub horizon.
21	So, if you remember, the Hoyleton is
2.2	hydric because of birds inclusion. This would be a

- 23 birds inclusion.
- Q. So, in this area, you were looking to

- 1 confirm the presence of the birds inclusion?
- 2 A. Yes, sir, this would be a birds
- 3 inclusion. There are other inclusions in the
- 4 Hoyleton as well, wetter areas.
- 5 But, yes, this would be the birds
- 6 inclusion which would be verified.
- 7 Q. Is that what you concluded on the basis
- 8 of the soil sample?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Moving to Bates 126. This would be
- 11 Transect 3-3?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you describe the results?
- 14 A. Transect 3, plot ID 3. Again, vegetation
- that parameter I left blank again. I did put a note
- that it was left blank because it was disturbed.
- This one had a note on the location,
- again, 100 feet from the top of bank. Under
- 19 hydrology, again, that was left blank because
- 20 hydrology had been altered.

21	If you turn the page to Bates Number 127,
22	there for the soil criteria 0 to 8 inches, it's that
23	dark surface color again, 10 YR 3/2, with bright
24	mottles within it.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	31
1	From 8 to 14 inches had a matrix color of
2	10 YR 4/3 which is little browner than the other
3	samples with no mottles within it.
4	And from 14 to 25 inches, again, 10 YR
5	4/4. The sample here would not meet the hydric
6	criteria unless the field indicators from 0 to
7	8 inches brought that in.
8	Q. What was your determination of whether
9	this sample point showed hydric soil?
10	A. I didn't put a determination on this
11	sheet. But I would say looking at it, it doesn't
12	meet the criteria, not unless the field indicators,
13	and I don't have a copy with me looking at the field
14	indicators.
15	Q. But based on your analysis of the color,
16	what was your conclusion?
17	A. That it would not.
18	Q. Okay. Moving to page 128?

- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Transect 3-4. Can you describe your
- 21 results?
- 22 A. Transect 3, plot 4, I have a not here on
- 23 the distance 245 feet from the top of bank from the
- 24 new channel, again. So I'm still on that line

- 1 heading west from the channel.
- I did not fill out the vegetation block
- just because it's disturbed. The hydrology block,
- 4 did not fill that out as well.
- If you turn the page to Bates Number 129,
- I have an asterisk there that just says that I did
- 7 not -- this is similar to the last plot, so I did not
- 8 fill it out.
- 9 Q. When you say last plot, which plot are
- 10 you referring to?
- 11 A. I'm referring to plot 3.
- 12 O. That would be Transect 3 ID 3?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And you resulted similar results?
- 15 A. Yes, but I -- well, I have a note here
- that it's birds inclusions, so that 0 to 8 inches

17	with	the	dark	surface	and	the	mottles,	that's	the
L /	$M \perp CII$	CIIC	uaik	Surrace	anu	CITE	mottres,	tilat 5	CITE

- only thing that could make it a birds inclusion.
- 19 By calling it that I automatically called
- 20 it a hydric soil. So that's the only thing that
- 21 would put that in there.
- 22 Q. So what was your determination of --
- 23 A. I've listed it as a hydric conclusion.
- Q. Moving back to Bates Number 126, this is

- a similar point that you found similar to the sample
- point just discussed.
- 3 Can you describe the analysis at the 0 to
- 4 8 profile depth?
- 5 A. On which page?
- Q. This would be 127.
- 7 A. 127? O to 8 inches had a dark surface
- 8 and had bright colored mottles within it. So, what
- 9 you have there is -- and this is an atypical
- 10 situation remember as well.
- 11 So this would be called a problem soil.
- 12 And there's an another section in the '87 Manual for
- dealing with problem soils.
- 14 And actually we are to go to what's call

- 15 Field Indicators of Hydric Soils which is a
- 16 publication of the USDA. And then spell out what to
- do in these situations where you have a dark surface
- 18 colored soil, because you can't see -- gray is masked
- 19 by these black surface or dark surface soils.
- 20 So what you rely on is bright colored
- 21 mottles within the surface layer itself.
- 22 And the only reason I can think for
- 23 calling that a birds inclusions is because it meets
- the field indicators for hydric soils.

- 1 Q. So just to clarify your prior testimony,
- 2 you did find this data point 3-3 as being a hydric
- 3 soil?
- A. Yes, sir, that's how I have it listed and
- 5 that's why I'm thinking I did that.
- Q. And that was based on your confirmation
- 7 that this was a birds inclusion?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And your testimony is that Transect ID
- 10 3-4 showed similar results?
- 11 A. Yes, sir. That's why I didn't bother to
- 12 write it down.

13	Ç	Q. Is	your	finding	the	same	e, that	this
14	transect	refle	cts th	le presei	nce d	of a	hydric	soil?

- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Based on it being a birds inclusion?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Moving on to page 130, that's a new
- 19 transect. Can you describe the general lotion of
- 20 this transect?
- 21 A. Transect ID 1, plot 1, this transect is
- on the top of that north-south L, heading west away
- from the new channel. So it would be on the north
- 24 end of that channel, heading west straight line west

- 1 just like the other transects.
- 2 Under the vegetation, I left that blank.
- 3 Again, the site was disturbed. The hydrology
- 4 criteria also left blank.
- 5 Under the soils criteria, 0 to 5 inches,
- 6 it's that dark surface color. 5 to 14 inches, the
- 7 color I have is 10 YR 4/3.
- 8 And then below that 14 to 19 inches
- 9 that's where the soil becomes gray, 10 YR 4/1h,
- 10 that's your gray matrix colors with bright mottles.

- 11 And then I sampled the 25 inches of
- depth. I have a remark down here birds inclusions.
- Notes 124, I'm not sure -- I'm not sure
- 14 why I have that there.
- 15 Q. Could this refer to a note on your aerial
- 16 photograph?
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- 18 Q. What is your interpretation of the color
- 19 results from this data point?
- 20 A. The color results do not show this as a
- 21 hydric soil.
- Therefore, I'm not sure why I have it
- 23 listed as a birds inclusion.
- Q. Moving on to page 132, transects ID 1,

- 1 plot ID 2?
- JUDGE MORAN: What was that page number,
- 3 Counsel?
- 4 MR. MARTIN: 132.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: Thank you.
- 6 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 7 Q. Can you describe the results of your
- 8 findings?

9	A. Yes, sir, Transect ID 1, plot ID 2,
10	again, vegetation and hydrology criteria on the page
11	I left this blank because the site was disturbed.
12	So you can go to Bates Number 133 on the
13	next page. There I have the soil criteria filled
14	out.
15	0 to 7 inches 10 YR 3/2 which is that
16	dark surface color again. And 10 YR 4/1 which is
17	that subsoil color that we've been seeing.
18	And then mottles, FF, few find, 5 YR
19	which is a little redder hue, 4/4 there the earth is
20	brown mottles, silt loam texture.
21	And I have a note here regarding all that
22	information that I found in the surface horizon
23	mixing of subsoil material in the surface horizon for
24	that 0 to 7 inches.

1	Then from 7 to 14 inches, 10 YR $4/3$,
2	which is a little bit browner. No mottles, silt loam
3	texture but within that 7 to 14 inches, I have a note
4	that I found charcoal in that horizon which is
5	evidence again of mixing.
6	So in this site, again, you have mixing

- 7 to 14 inches.
- 8 Below that 14 to 16 inches, I saw the
- 9 same colors as above that. And then I sampled the 26
- 10 inches and found similar results.
- 11 Q. How did you interpret these results?
- 12 A. The soil was not hydric, but there was
- evidence of disturbance in the soil profile through
- 14 mixing and charcoal.
- 15 Q. Again, to you what is the presence of
- 16 charcoal indicate?
- 17 A. The charcoal at those depths show me two
- 18 things. The charcoal itself is evidence of the
- debris being burned. The woody debris when the area
- 20 was cleared was piled up and burned.
- 21 Some of those were seen in the
- 22 photographs that Bill Heser provided his Attorney
- 23 with the complaint form.
- 24 So this is evidence of the burning debris

- 1 on the site.
- 2 And then the fact that I found it at that
- 3 depth shows mixing to 14 inches.
- 4 Q. Moving on to page 134, this is marked as

- 5 plot ID 1. Can you describe the results on this data
- 6 form?
- 7 A. Yes, sir. Plot ID 1, this sample was
- 8 taken further to the west off of Transect 3. And
- 9 it's depicted on the map that I made with the
- 10 transects on it.
- But this is further to the west of the
- very last data point on Transect 3. So we're further
- out in the field. And my reason for doing these
- plots 1 and 2 here, both of these plots is I wasn't
- 15 sure where the cleared area ended.
- So I had finished my transects but I
- wasn't comfortable with how far I had gone. So I
- went and basically resampled a little to the west off
- of -- basically off of Transect 3, further out to see
- 20 how far the clearing or disturbance really was.
- 21 So plot ID 1, again, under vegetation, I
- left that blank because it had been cleared.
- 23 Under hydrology, I did have some notes
- 24 under hydrology that were still evident when I was

- 1 out there on the site. I noted sediment deposits
- which are a primary indicator of hydrology.

- 3 Oxidized root channels which I would have
- 4 saw those during the soil analysis part.
- 5 And then other, I believe that just --
- 6 I'm not sure what that references unless it is just
- 7 referencing the sediment deposits. Because I have
- 8 nothing in the remarks other than what the plant
- 9 color was, wheat.
- 10 So, if you turn the page to Bates Number
- 11 135, where I do the soil sampling. 0 to 5 inches,
- 12 again, that dark surface horizon. From 5 to 15
- inches, that's where it meets the hydric soil
- 14 criteria. 10 YR 4/1, that one chroma matrix. And it
- also listed mottles within that layer, the 10 YR 5/6
- and the 10 YR 4/4 which are bright colored mottles.
- 17 15 to 23 inches, I just put those
- notations that I found the same material to 23
- 19 inches.
- 20 And then I put a note in the last column
- on silt coats which is again an indication of water
- 22 moving, leaching through the profile.
- 23 Under remarks oxidized root channels from
- 7 inches and that's why I marked it on the first

- 1 page.
- Debris and wheat cover, well, that's my
- 3 note on the first page under hydrology where I said
- 4 other.
- 5 So there was actually trash and debris
- 6 which water had actually flowed out in the field and
- 7 left debris in the field.
- 8 So that's other one I marked under other
- 9 on the first page under hydrology.
- 10 Q. What was your determination based on this
- 11 analysis?
- 12 A. This was a hydric soil.
- Q. You mentioned that the sample location
- 14 here was located on your map. Can you turn to Bates
- 15 152 and 153?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. In general, is this the map that you
- 18 referred to?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. It shows up better on 153.
- Q. How are these maps, aerial photographs
- 21 different?
- JUDGE MORAN: How are they what?
- MR. MARTIN: Well, we've got two photos,
- 24 aerial photographs and --

41

JUDGE MORAN: I didn't hear the last word

- 2 that you said. Said it again, the last word, how are
- 3 they what?
- 4 MR. MARTIN: How are they different?
- 5 THE WITNESS: There's different from the
- 6 information that I put on them.
- 7 On 152, I got a few more notes on there
- 8 on the location of the transect in terms of
- 9 distances. You see on 152, written in red at the
- 10 top, I have a note that Transect 1 -- I have levee
- 11 that -- one, which would be levee to sample point
- 12 Number one which would be 5 feet.
- 13 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. We can return to the locations after we
- 15 looked at them. I just wanted to know generally what
- map you were referring to from your last answer.
- 17 And you were referring to the aerial
- 18 photographs; is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Returning to Bates 136. This would be
- 21 plot ID 2?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Please go through your analysis.
- 24 A. Plot ID 2, vegetation parameter left

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

- 1 blank again, because there was no vegetation, the
- 2 site had been cleared.
- 3 Under hydrology criteria, I did mark a
- 4 second hydrologic indicator, oxidized root channels,
- 5 which I would have seen under soil analysis.
- 6 So if you turn the page to Bates 137,
- 7 that's the results of my soil sampling. 0 to
- 8 6 inches, 10 YR 3/2, that dark surface color again.
- 9 And here we had gray and bright colored
- 10 mottles noted in the surface layers 10 YR 5/6,
- 11 meaning both gray and bright mottle colors.
- 12 6 to 14 inches, 10 YR 4/1, that one on
- 13 the bottom of that fraction is what refers to the
- 14 chroma. That's what makes the hydric soil criteria.
- And then I have a note on the mottles,
- 16 common find, 10 YR 5/6 mottles, which are bright
- 17 colored orange mottles.
- 18 That 6 to 14 inches is what made the
- 19 hydric soil criteria.
- 20 And then I went ahead and I did sample
- 21 down to 23 inches. And then within the 20 to 23 inch
- layer I do have a note that I found silt coats down
- there which is a indicator of water moving through

43

1 Q. So your determination here was that the 2 soil was hydric? 3 A. Yes, sir. Q. I'll just move over to page 138, this would be plot ID 3? 5 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. Will you go through your results? 8 A. Plot ID 3, again, the vegetation parameter was left blank. I had a note that 9 10 vegetation was missing. 11 Hydrology also left blank because the 12 site had been altered. 13 So if you turn the page to 139 where I have the soils information filled out, 0 to 7 inches, 14 15 here we actually have a gray surface horizon with bright colored mottles within it. 16 17 7 to 11 inches, this is what meets the hydric soil criteria for 10 YR 4/1, that gray color, 18 19 that one. 20 Also had mottles, brighter colored

mottles that, 10 YR 4/3, that would be a brighter

22 colored mottle in there. 23 And I went ahead and I sampled to 20 24 inches, but I met the criteria at 7 to 11 inches. Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois (312) 782-4705 44 1 And I have this listed as a birds 2 inclusion. 3 I'm sorry, can you repeat that, please? Q. A. I have this listed as a birds inclusion. 4 5 Q. So the sample results confirm the finding 6 of a birds inclusion? 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. In the upper box of the soils criteria on 9 page 139, what's noted in the taxonomy section? 10 A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that? 11 Q. You have a notation in the taxonomy section of the soils box, top of the soils box. 12 Could you explain what that notation is? 13 14 A. Okay. Hoyleton has a taxonomic 15 classification. This is part of soil taxonomy that in addition to the soil name Hoyleton, the name 16 17 itself really doesn't tell you anything about the

19 So every soil including Hoyleton has a

soil.

- 20 taxonomic classification. And in Hoyleton's place
- 21 it's fluvaquent. It's actually an aeric fluvaquent.
- Q. Would you repeat that?
- 23 A. Aeric, fluvaquent and that's F --
- 24 taxonomy is read backward. So the formative element

- of fluvaquent, it's an inter soil meaning it's a
- 2 recently developed soil, in this case it's a flood
- 3 plane soil, so fluvaquent, flood.
- 4 So it's a young soil that's the hint.
- 5 The aquent multiplier means it has an aquent moisture
- 6 in it, so it's wet. So you have a wet young soil.
- 7 And then flu which would be another
- 8 modifier to get fluvaquent, fluvial just means that
- 9 you have depositions from flooding events.
- 10 So you have organic matter that is real
- 11 sporadic throughout it, because every time there's a
- 12 flooding, you have organic matter deposits.
- So if you were to profile on a graph
- organic matter contents in the soil with depth, it
- would just spike back and forth with flood events.
- So that's how you get the term
- 17 fluvaquent.

- And then the aeric, which isn't written 18 19 down here, but that just means it's on the more aerated side. That's why this is not a hydric soil 20 in and of itself. 21 It's a little bit better drained and it's 22 23 not hydric in of itself. You have an inclusion in 24 hydric. Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705 46 JUDGE MORAN: Why don't you just step back 1 for us and define the term taxonomy? Is it just 2 T-A-X-O-N-O-M-Y? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 4 JUDGE MORAN: Go ahead. 5
- THE WITNESS: All soils in the United States 6 7 are classified in accordance with soil taxonomy. I can't recall what approximation we are, I think it's 8 the seventh approximation. 9 10 They've gone through many iterations of 11 it to get to what we have right now. But soils are broken down in different levels and categories just 12 13 like botanical classifications. So you have like eleven soil orders. You 14

have Mollisols, you have Entisols, which here this is

- an Entisol; that's why you have that ent.
- 17 And you can have alfisols which is a
- 18 timber soil which would be like this Wynoose soil we
- 19 have is a timber soil.
- 20 And then Alfisol that's why the Wynoose
- soil on the site, it's an albaqualf, an Alfisol.
- 22 And all soils in the United States are
- 23 classified according to the classification system.
- 24 And the soils on the site, all the Wynoose, the

- 1 Newberry and the Hoyleton, they all -- in that soil
- 2 survey Manual, they actually have the classification
- 3 name of these soils.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: So taxonomy, it's just a
- 5 classification system?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: And in this case it's a
- 8 classification of soil?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 10 JUDGE MORAN: All right.
- 11 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 12 Q. Okay, moving on to page 140, plot ID 4.
- 13 A. Yes, sir.

14	Q. Can you describe your results from your
15	data form here?
16	A. Okay, plot ID 4, again, it's depicted on
17	the map. This is on the upstream upstream end of
18	the site. This is in the field adjacent to the
19	beginning of the channel work, as was plot ID 3.
20	1 and 2 were on the downstream end. 3
21	and 4 are on the upstream end.
22	The vegetation blocks left blank because
23	the site's disturbed, vegetation is missing.
24	Hydrology again is left blank for the
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

- same reason, hydrology has been altered.
- 2 If you turn the page to 141, Bates Number
- 3 141, 0 to 6 inches, 10 YR 3/2, that's that dark
- 4 surface horizon again.
- 5 6 to 14 inches, 10 YR 4/3, was the color
- 6 there. There was no mottles.
- 7 I do have a note that there's mixing of
- 8 material in the soil. I'm not sure the extent of the
- 9 mixing. I just have a note that there's mixing in
- 10 that soil.
- 11 And I don't have an indication of it

- 12 being a hydric soil. I have it listed as a birds
- inclusion, but I'm not sure why.
- Q. Is that a reference to, in your remarks,
- 15 to sheet one?
- 16 A. Yes, sir. The note there, there's an
- 17 asterisk by birds referencing sheet one.
- 18 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, could we recess for
- 19 a short time for a bathroom break.
- 20 JUDGE MORAN: Absolutely. Let's all take a
- 21 ten-minute break.
- 22 (Whereupon a short recess was
- 23 taken.)
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay, let's go.

- 1 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. Looking at Bates 139, first of all, who
- 3 filled out these data forms under this section?
- A. I did the actual sampling, and I gave the
- 5 information to Katherine Kelly there on the site,
- 6 right next to me. And she filled it out right as I
- 7 sampled.
- 8 Q. This is Katherine Kelly's writing?
- 9 A. That's her actual handwriting.

- 10 Q. Turning to Bates 139, in the soils box at
- 11 the bottom, in the remarks?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you recall what this is referring to?
- 14 A. This note on the birds inclusions where
- 15 it says notes one, two, four, see sheet one, this is
- on several of these data sheets.
- 17 And if you go back -- if you go back,
- 18 let's see, to Bates 135 in the remarks section, under
- 19 soil criteria.
- Q. That's Bates 135?
- 21 A. Bates 135.
- Q. And that would be for Transect 1,
- 23 correct?
- A. Yes, sir, it's plot ID 1.

- 1 Q. Go ahead.
- 2 A. You'll see under the remarks where it has
- another asterisk, it mentions birds inclusions, one,
- 4 two, four. And underneath it, it actually has one,
- 5 two and four listed.
- Item number 1, it says hydric due to
- 7 water table at or near the surface. Number 2, these

- 8 soils support woody vegetation under natural
- 9 conditions.
- 10 And number 4, these soils are seasonally
- 11 flooded or ponded. That's what this is referencing
- 12 to, where you see these notes.
- 13 Q. So this is sheet one as referenced on the
- 14 other data forms?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Why are these listed one, two, four
- instead of one, two, three?
- 18 A. These are referencing -- what we're
- 19 getting at with these notes is in the '87 Manual
- 20 under hydric soil criteria, it actually spells out
- 21 some criteria with reference to water tables and
- 22 seasonal ponding.
- 23 And they're listed in that section and
- that's what these are referencing to.

- 1 Q. Why don't we go into the 1987 Manual.
- 2 This would be Complainant's Exhibit 40. Would you
- 3 turn to that?
- 4 Are you there?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. You just explained that these
- 7 designations, one, two, four, come from the 1987
- 8 Manual. Can you point out where.
- 9 A. Yes, sir. In the Manual, it's on Bates
- Number 1103, under hydric soils, item number 37,
- 11 there on that page.
- 12 And that's where it gives the definition
- of a hydric soil for each of the -- according to the
- 14 National Technical Committee of Hydric Soils, and it
- goes through the criteria.
- 16 And in there, under B, you actually see a
- 17 reference to the water table, different depths,
- depending on whether the soil is somewhat drained or
- 19 poorly drained.
- 20 And then on the next page, Bates Number
- 21 1104, it has reference for item C, soils that are
- 22 ponded for long and very long duration during the
- 23 growing season.
- 24 These are -- they're frequently flooded

- 1 for long duration or very long duration during the
- 2 growing season.
- But out in the field, we couldn't

- 4 remember the item number to say it's 37 (a) (b) 1, 2.
- 5 We tried to go from memory that it's items
- 6 Number one, two, and four.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: But there is no item Number
- 8 four on this not that it matters particularly.
- 9 THE WITNESS: No, sir, there's not.
- 10 JUDGE MORAN: And that's why Counsel was
- 11 asking you why there was a -- can you just explain
- 12 simply if you know, why there was a --
- Why does it go one, two and then not
- 14 three but then four. Just explain. Do you
- 15 understand why Miss Kelly would --
- 16 What did her one and two and four refer
- to as far as you understand it, if you know.
- 18 That's what Counsel is asking you. He
- 19 was asking why the missing three?
- THE WITNESS: We knew that the ponded part
- 21 was on there. Soils that are seasonally flooded or
- ponded.
- 23 We didn't know what item it was. And we
- 24 knew it was at the end. We wasn't sure, it was a

- 2 covered it.
- JUDGE MORAN: So what you're saying is, it's
- 4 not the important the numbers, the one, two, or four,
- 5 it's the text that accompanies the numbers
- 6 themselves?
- 7 THE WITNESS: That's true. We put the text
- 8 here.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 10 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 11 Q. In the Manual, you're referring to
- 12 paragraph 37 (a) through (d)?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Instead of numbers, does Manual use the
- 15 letters?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is that what you're saying?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So if you had used letters in the data
- form, you would have referred to A, B, and D; is that
- 21 the intent?
- JUDGE MORAN: Well, it doesn't matter
- doesn't, does it. It's the text that we should focus
- on, the words that we use, not the particular number?

1	Isn't that what's important, Counsel?
2	MR. MARTIN: That's what I'm just trying to
3	get to, an explanation from him.
4	JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I should have
6	referenced B2 for one of those under B2 for item
7	Number one.
8	And for item Number four, it's close to C
9	or D, but it's not word for word. We were just
10	getting out the fact that it was seasonally flooded
11	or ponded. We just figured it would have fit one of
12	those. We weren't sure which because we couldn't
13	remember the text of it.
14	And item Number two, that doesn't fall
15	within here anywhere.
16	BY MR. MARTIN:
17	Q. But the purpose of the analysis is to
18	determine whether the soil meets the birds inclusion?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. And for the data forms that reference
21	sheet one, was did your conclusion that those data
22	points did meet the birds inclusion?
23	A. I would have to go back to those sheets,
24	because some of those, for instance on Transect 3,

- 1 the soils colors do not support the hydric soil
- 2 criteria even though I did mark it as a birds
- 3 inclusion.
- 4 MR. MARTIN: Well, I think because the record
- is unclear on this, your Honor, I'd like to just
- 6 return to the data forms that contain a reference to
- 7 sheet one.
- 8 JUDGE MORAN: Sure.
- 9 MR. MARTIN: Just to summarize what the
- 10 findings are.
- JUDGE MORAN: I was just trying to make life
- 12 easier for you. But it's your case.
- 13 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. Return to page 130, Transect 1, plot
- 15 ID 1, I believe this is the first reference to sheet
- one. What was your analysis of this soil sample?
- 17 A. Bates Number 131?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. On this sample here the soils information
- I have do not support this as being a hydric soil.
- Q. Returning to Bates page 139 --
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- (continuing) what was your
- 24 determination for this soil sample?

56

1		Α.	This sample would be a hydric soil.
2		Q.	Turning to Bates 141?
3		Α.	(So complied with request.)
4		Q.	What was your determination there?
5		Α.	On page 141, this sample would not.
6		Q.	I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
7		Α.	Bates Number 141?
8		Q.	Yes.
9		Α.	The soil information in this book would
10	not meet	the	e soil criteria.
11		Q.	And this is for plot ID 4, correct?
12		Α.	Yes, sir.
13		Q.	Moving to page 142, the next plot ID is
14	labeled	one	star. Could you explain what that refers
15	to?		
16		JUDO	GE MORAN: Which one are we on right now?
17		MR.	MARTIN: On Bates 142
18		JUDG	GE MORAN: Okay.
19		MR.	MARTIN: (continuing) the plot ID is
20	referred	to	as a one with a star or asterisk next to
21	it.		

THE WITNESS: That star just references that

- 23 I'm in the crop field. The sample point was -- this
- is north of the newly constructed channel.

- 1 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. When you say you're in the crop field,
- 3 what does that refer to?
- 4 A. This is the crop field north of the site.
- 5 I'm out in an area -- this isn't that block of wooded
- 6 timber area in this case. I'm going north instead of
- 7 west.
- 8 West would have taken me in that block of
- 9 wooded timber. At this sample point, I'm going
- 10 north, another cleared area but it's all crop field
- 11 at this time.
- 12 Q. Just referring to this field, does that
- mean this area was crop?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you give me your results or analysis
- of this plot ID?
- 17 A. Yes, sir, the vegetation parameter was
- left blank because the vegetation had been removed.
- 19 Hydrology had been altered. I did mark one of the
- 20 secondary indicators because during the soil analysis

- 21 I did find oxidized root channels.
- 22 And if you turn to Bates 143, my soil
- 23 analysis, 0 to 9 inches at 10 YR 4/1 matrix which is
- a gray matrix with bright mottles, 10 YR 5/6.

- 1 9 to 15 inches, again, a gray matrix with
- 2 bright mottles. And I met the hydric criteria at
- 3 that point although I did sample to 23 inches.
- 4 Q. Your determination for this data point,
- 5 that was hydric?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Moving to page 144, this would be plot
- 8 ID 2 with an asterisk?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. This, again, was out in that
- 10 field area. This is going north again, straight
- 11 north of the channel, further north than sample point
- 12 Number one in the field.
- 13 Q. How far west from the north-south leg of
- the L are you at that point in this transect?
- 15 A. I'm not west. I'm just about due north
- of the newly constructed channel.
- So I'm probably -- well, here in my
- 18 notes, 300 feet from the fence line is the note. So

- concrete slabs laying in the channel there 300 feet

24 north of that point.

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 782-4705

- 1 Q. We can return to locations after we
- 2 finish this final data sheet.
- 3 What were your results for this data
- 4 form?
- 5 A. Okay, for plot ID 2, the results were
- 6 that the soil was hydric. And it met the hydric soil
- 7 criteria at 5 to 10 inches, it had a matrix color of
- 8 10 YR 4/1 which would be hydric with bright mottles.
- 9 Q. Would you turn to --
- 10 JUDGE MORAN: At this point, are you done
- 11 talking for now about these data forms?
- MR. MARTIN: Yes.
- 13 JUDGE MORAN: Mr. Lenz, would you do me a
- favor and look at these data sheets and I'm going to
- ask you to go through a couple of them, all right?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

- JUDGE MORAN: First look at page 141. Tell
- me when you have it.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I'm there.
- JUDGE MORAN: And you see under he remark it
- 21 says birds and then it has parentheses one,
- 22 parentheses two, and parentheses four, see sheet one.
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

- 1 JUDGE MORAN: Keep that in mind. Now look at
- 2 page 139, same thing, remarks; are you there?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: See same business: notes one,
- 5 two, four, see sheet one. She sells sea shells by
- 6 the seashore. Do you see that?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 8 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And then I want you to
- 9 look -- look at 131. And do you see the same thing
- 10 there?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: Under remarks: one, two, four
- 13 see sheet one?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, sir.

15 JUDGE MORAN: Did we do 137? We didn't do 16 that one, did we? I think I missed that one. 137, do you see the same thing birds, 17 18 one, two, four, see sheet one? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 JUDGE MORAN: Okay, you saw all four of those 21 examples under remarks? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 JUDGE MORAN: And they're all essentially the 24 same thing? One, two, four, see sheet one; right? Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

61

1 THE WITNESS: Right. 2 JUDGE MORAN: Where is sheet one? Which page 3 is sheet one? Give me the page number? 4 THE WITNESS: Sheet one would be --5 JUDGE MORAN: I mean, you referenced this, 6 did you not, so you wouldn't have to keep writing in 7 the same thing. It's just a shorthand way just 8 referring back to something else; right? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I believe it's 10 one, three, four -- or one, two, four. 11 JUDGE MORAN: Bates what?

THE WITNESS: 134.

13	JUDGE MORAN: Are you sure you don't mean
14	135?
15	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry, 135
16	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And when you talk about
17	one, two, and four, it's my understanding that you
18	were with your assistant or partner, Miss Kelly, the
19	one, two, and four were intended to refer to
20	categories within the hydric soil definition which
21	are part of Exhibit 40, at page 1103?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that was the intent.
23	JUDGE MORAN: And so while you were used one,
24	two, and four, you were really referring to letters
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

62

1 as opposed to numbers?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

3 JUDGE MORAN: And I have a bunch other

questions to ask about this, but I'll going to wait

5 until you're done with your direct with this

6 individual and then we'll have the cross-examination.

7 BY MR. MARTIN:

8 Q. Mr. Lenz, you reviewed aerial photographs

9 of the site?

10 A. Yes, sir.

- 11 Q. And did you do that routinely -- do you
- do that routinely in your work for the Corps of
- 13 Engineers?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you ever use the USDA aerial
- 16 photographs?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Turn to Complainant's Exhibit 14, which
- is located at Bates 191.
- JUDGE MORAN: Give me that number again,
- 21 please.
- MR. MARTIN: Bates 191.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 24 BY MR. MARTIN:

- 1 Q. Could you review this letter? Do you
- 2 recognize what this letter is?
- 3 A. Would you repeat the question?
- 4 Q. Do you recognize what this letter is?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is it?
- 7 A. It's a certification from USDA regarding
- 8 this attached photograph.

9	Q.	Have	you	had	occasion	to	order
10	photographs	from	the	USDA	<i>4</i> ?		

- 11 A. No, I have not.
- 12 Q. Are you aware of whether it was done in
- the course of delineation work under the Manual?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, it is done. Consultants will
- order this information. I have not.
- Q. But you have worked with people who have
- ordered USDA aerial photographs for wetland purposes?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. How often have you done that?
- 20 A. Occasionally, not real often.
- Q. What's the purpose of obtaining aerial
- 22 photographs?
- 23 A. The purpose is to get generally a
- 24 historic picture of the site. Most of the time when

- 1 I see it, it's a slide review, that they haven't
- 2 actually ordered the photographs. And they've got
- 3 this slide reviews showing different years of a site
- 4 to determine hydrology.
- 5 So you get a photograph of a picture.
- 6 You may see wetness signatures for example, from

- 7 photos only.
- 8 So, basically, you're interpreting aerial
- 9 photographs and photo tone on the photograph to
- 10 determine the hydrology indicator.
- 11 Q. Let's return to the slide review that you
- 12 conducted prior to the site visit. How many slides
- did you view at the time?
- 14 A. I don't recall. We looked at several
- 15 slides, but I don't recall how many we looked at.
- Q. And were those slides from the site of
- 17 the alleged violation?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Can you recognize aerial photographs that
- 20 contain the site of the alleged violation?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you turn to page 192?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What does it appear to be?

- 1 A. This is an aerial photograph that shows
- 2 the site in question in the bottom half of the aerial
- 3 photo here.
- 4 Q. You're referring to the site of the

5	alleged violation?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, what I'd like to do
8	to clarify the record as to the location of the
9	sampling results. It would be useful to have a
LO	blowup of this map. I would like for the witness to
L1	refer to this blowup for generally for demonstrative
L2	purposes to point out where these sample results are
L3	as reflected in the data forms.
L 4	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. I'd like you to ask him
L5	whether how when he first saw this document, this
L6	192, if, when he saw it, the certification was
L7	attached to it? If this photograph was this
L8	enlargement was taken pre-disturbance of the alleged
L9	disturbance or post-disturbance.
20	But you can still do this through your
21	demonstrative exhibit, but I just have some other
22	questions about certification.
23	MR. MARTIN: Sure.
24	

66

1 BY MR. MARTIN:

2 Q. Mr. Lenz, when was the first time you saw

- 3 this exhibit?
- A. This exhibit, the certification with the
- 5 photograph?
- Q. Yes.
- 7 A. This is the first time I have seen it.
- Q. EPA obtained this blowup --
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: And this is the first time this
- 10 witness has seen this?
- MR. MARTIN: Yes.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: You're going to have to ask him
- how is it he's able to recognize that Bates 192 is
- 14 the site.
- I mean, this could be a million different
- 16 sites within the continental United States, the lower
- 17 forty-eight states.
- 18 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 19 O. Mr. Lenz --
- 20 JUDGE MORAN: I know there are fifty, but I'm
- 21 talking about continental, not Alaska or Hawaii.
- 22 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 23 Q. Mr. Lenz, you testified you could see the
- site on the aerial photograph on page 192, what

- 1 evidence do you see on this aerial photograph that
- 2 indicates that this depicts the site of the alleged
- 3 violation?
- 4 A. The evidence that I see is the
- 5 configuration of -- the first and for most thing that
- 6 stands out in my mind when I look at it is the
- 7 configuration of the separate areas, that they match
- 8 the photos that I have seen of the site.
- 9 And there's also the drainage pattern on
- 10 the photo that stands out so I can match this.
- JUDGE MORAN: So you have seen before you
- 12 laid eyes on this 192, you have seen a number of
- other aerial photos of the site, correct?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE MORAN: And based on the other photos
- 16 that you had seen you're able to recognize this
- 17 enlarged version of the site; is that correct?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 19 JUDGE MORAN: Go ahead.
- 20 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 21 Q. Turning to the photograph that you
- 22 attached to your field notes located at Bates 152?
- A. What page is it on?
- Q. Page 152.

68

```
1 A. Yes, sir.
```

- Q. What year is this photograph from?
- 3 A. This is 1998. It has the year referenced
- 4 in the upper left-hand corner of the sheet.
- 5 Q. And how would you compare the features of
- 6 this photograph with the feature of the photograph
- 7 that we just talked about, which is Complainant's 14?
- 8 A. From the previous photo we just looked
- 9 at?
- 10 O. Yes.
- 11 A. They're similar or identical. There
- 12 might be some minor variations due to -- I don't
- 13 know. I don't know the year. What was the year of
- 14 the -- if it was 1998 or not, but I'm seeing the same
- signatures on the photo, the same topographic
- 16 features or signatures.
- Q. So it's your opinion that both photos
- 18 contain the site of the alleged violation?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, I would like
- 21 to request some blowup of Exhibit 14 for
- demonstrative purposes.
- JUDGE MORAN: Yes, that's fine. And I have
- 24 to tell you that, first of all, I don't know -- it

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

- 1 hasn't been explained why this matters, this aerial
- 2 photograph.
- 3 Mr. Lenz was there at the site. He took
- 4 soil samples. He did it with Miss Kelly, but from an
- 5 evidentiary standpoint as I looked through
- 6 yesterday -- you know, the only real difference
- 7 between the administrative proceeding and if this
- 8 were a Federal District Court essentially deals with
- 9 hearsay, in that hearsay is more liberally admitted.
- 10 Although there are some 22 exceptions of hearsay
- 11 admission if this were a court, a Federal District
- 12 Court proceeding, which is it is not.
- 13 But the problem is there's nothing self
- 14 authenticating about this particular document. The
- 15 proper way to introduce this document would have been
- 16 to have some individual come up and say I work for
- 17 U.S. EPA.
- I requested from USDA a blowup of aerial
- 19 photography, et cetera, et cetera, this is what I
- 20 received. Unless the other side stipulates.
- There's nothing self authenticating. And
- 22 I'll also point out to you and this is just for
- 23 future reference in trial that there's nothing

1	For example, the Linda MacDonald who is
2	Chief of the Sales Section identifies this as an
3	enlargement NAPP and then gives it some numbers
4	ending with the letter X, but there's nothing that I
5	see on the following page which has that identifying
6	number on it.
7	So while Counsel has not objected, and as
8	far as I know there's been no stipulation to the
9	admission of this, the problem I'm trying to get at
10	here is - and I'm not saying that EPA did this - but
11	there are rules for introducing evidence in a
12	proceeding.
13	And there would be nothing in theory for
14	a person who has substituted Bates page 192 to 191.
15	I'm not even suggesting that happened here.
16	But there's a way to do it and it's what
17	I outlined to you a moment ago. And it would have
18	been a very simple thing. First, you try and get a
19	stipulation. If the stipulation doesn't happen then
20	you have to haul in the witness and say:
21	I requested this. This is what I got.

- Yes, I recognize it. And then you can have the
- 23 witness talk about it and how it looks the same to
- 24 him as earlier photographs, et cetera. That's how to

- 1 have done it.
- 2 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, Greg Carlson is
- 3 here. He can testify to that. We can go out of
- 4 order and put him on the witness stand.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: I think it would be nice to tie
- 6 that up not later on, not right now.
- 7 I'm just expressing -- you know, you
- 8 can't come in and say -- as Counsel, you can't say
- 9 well, we requested this. That doesn't become your
- 10 duty. You're not up here testifying.
- 11 All right, that's just an aside. Let's
- 12 continue.
- 13 MR. MARTIN: Is there a ruling on the use of
- 14 an aerial photograph for demonstrative purposes?
- JUDGE MORAN: Yes, you may use it. But
- 16 you're fortunate that Mr. Northrup isn't making this
- more difficult for you, because I still would allow
- 18 you to use it for demonstrative purposes subject to
- 19 your tying it up later with Mr. Carlson.

20	But just as a matter of future reference
21	when you go back home to Chicago, administrative law
22	does not equal like a discussion around in a chat
23	room. There's more formality to the introduction of
24	documents than what I've seen here.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	72
1	MR. NORTHRUP: Your Honor, we do object. We
2	do have lots of foundational questions about these
3	maps and their dates, and they are important to us.
4	We were going to bring those out either
5	on cross-examination or when Mr. Martin moves to
6	admit them. We'll make our objection then.
7	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Martin.
8	(WHEREUPON, a large document was
9	set up in the courtroom.)
10	JUDGE MORAN: And, again, just for
11	instructive purposes, you know, most of these
12	problems I believe they could be eliminated as in
13	many other proceedings I presided in. You have a
14	document. You show it to the other side. They
15	stipulate. Yeah, we're not going to make a stink

Or they say yes, we are going to make a

16 about that.

- 18 stink about it. Then you know what you have to do.
- 19 Then you'll have to haul in a witness and go through
- 20 the paces. And then you return the favor by
- 21 requiring the same hold their feet to the fire.
- 22 That's how it operates.
- Go ahead.
- 24 BY MR. MARTIN:

- 1 Q. Mr. Lenz, you have various notes in your
- field notes that we've been looking at regarding
- 3 location of the twelve samples that you took.
- 4 Where do those notes appear?
- 5 A. Okay, I labeled my transects, where I've
- 6 sampled.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: Which Bates page are you
- 8 referring to?
- 9 THE WITNESS: On Bates 152 and 153.
- 10 JUDGE MORAN: Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 12 Q. Take us through the procedure here. You
- took soil sample in the field, and did you fill out
- 14 these aerial photographs after your field visit?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.

16	Q. And what did you is this your
17	looking at Bates 152, is this your handwriting?
18	A. Yes, sir, it is. Part of it is. The
19	actual locations and the notations on the transects
20	and the sample points are mine.
21	Just the line and the reference to new
22	channel, that's Katherine Kelly's writing, that
23	label.
24	Q. Turning to the aerial photograph at 153,
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	74
1	are these additional notes regarding field locations
2	of the soil samples?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. And how were these plot out on aerial
5	153?
6	A. On Bates 153, on that aerial?

A. On Bates 153, on that aerial?

7 Along the north-south leg of the new

8 channel is where I laid out my transects. I have it

9 labeled on here T one, T two, and T three. T one

being further north on that channel, T three being 10

the bottom of that north-south leg, and T two in the 11

12 middle of my three transects.

13 Q. Did you rely on the notes that you took

- in the field in your data forms to draw out your
- handwritten notes on these aerial photographs?
- 16 A. Actually, what I did was I marked in the
- field on aerial photos and came back into the office
- and redid everything in red. Then I used my field
- notes as reference while doing that, but I actually
- 20 had some notations on the maps.
- Q. You had this aerial photograph with you
- during sampling?
- 23 A. Either this one or a copy of it. I think
- 24 the actual field copy may have gotten mud all over it

- 1 or something, but this would have been a duplication.
- 2 Q. So, as you filled out -- as you conducted
- 3 your soil sampling, you made notations
- 4 contemporaneously on the aerial photographs at the
- 5 site?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. For demonstrative purposes, could you
- 8 give us the locations of each transect on the blowup
- 9 map?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 JUDGE MORAN: And then just help me out,

- define again transect. A transect is?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Transect is a line that I'm
- 14 sampling along.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 16 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 17 Q. Turning to data form 114, I believe you
- 18 testified you started out by defining Transect 2?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Could you mark on the blowup map where
- 21 the location of Transect 2 is, and describe where
- you're marking?
- 23 A. Here's the north-south leg of the
- 24 channel. Transect 2 would be here. It doesn't show

- 1 up too well, does it?
- JUDGE MORAN: Let me ask you this? Is
- 3 Transect 2, sir, in what you believe to be the
- 4 disturbed part of the channel or where the work was a
- 5 allegedly done or is it in an undisturbed area?
- 6 THE WITNESS: It's over here.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: Adjacent to the disturbed area?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Transect 2 is through the
- 9 disturbed area.

- 10 JUDGE MORAN: It's through the disturbed
- 11 area.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I just made my note on the map
- here because I knew that nobody could see my writing
- in the timber.
- 15 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. All of data forms that
- 16 you filled out there were 16 of them, right?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I believe so.
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: Something like that. Were they
- 19 all in the vicinity of the disturbed area along
- 20 various transects?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, they were all in the
- 22 disturbed area.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And my understanding is
- 24 you took no soil samples in the area that was

- undisturbed either above or below the work; is that
- 2 true?
- 3 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: Go ahead.
- 5 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 6 Q. Can you generally describe the plot ID
- 7 for Transect 1 -- for plot ID 1 in Transect 2? If

- 8 you could just go through the locations of the plot
- 9 IDs for Transect 2?
- 10 A. Okay, for Transect 2, again, I wrote 22
- off in this crop field over here just so it could be
- 12 seen.
- 13 Here's my line. I started next to the
- 14 channel here. My first point was 25 feet west of the
- 15 newly constructed channel. That's where my line
- 16 started, in essence.
- 17 From there, I went out 50 feet to the
- 18 $\,$ next -- and my reason for starting 25 feet out was to
- 19 get rid of the disturbed area -- to get away from the
- 20 disturbed area right near the channel.
- 21 So my first point was 25 feet from the
- 22 channel. I went along on my transect line another
- 23 50 feet to Transect 2. That would be --
- Q. That would be plot ID 2?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Then another 50 feet to plot ID 3.
- 3 Q. That would be Transect plot ID 3. Can
- 4 you move on to transect -- there's one more plot ID
- 5 Transect 2?

6 A. Yes, there's another one I believ	e the
--	-------

- 7 distance is different. The last one, it's 150 feet
- 8 from three to four so I increased the distance to get
- 9 my last sample point on Transect 2, plot 4.
- 10 Q. In general, what considerations are you
- 11 taking into account when you're locating the exact
- 12 locations of those plot IDs?
- 13 A. Would you repeat the question?
- 14 Q. What considerations on the ground are you
- taking into account when you're locating the exact
- 16 locations of your soil samples?
- 17 A. Okay. Number one, I want to have a
- 18 reference point that I can go back to in terms of
- being able to plot this on a map later.
- That's why I used the new channel as a
- 21 reference point. We can always go back to the new
- 22 channel and find the sample points. The new channel
- is there. You can go back to a point like that, a
- line. It's a straight line, too, which makes the

- 1 baseline very good. Having that straight-line
- 2 channel works wells as a baseline.
- 3 The other consideration -- when you're on

- 4 the transect line the other considerations are what
- 5 kind of anomalies do you see to an area?
- In this case, I was going through a
- 7 disturbed area. So I could go equal distance
- 8 50 feet. But when I saw -- after the third data
- 9 point I did not wanting to on 50-foot intervals
- 10 because the cleared area was too far out.
- 11 So I just skipped and went further. And
- the '87 Manual it really doesn't address that. It
- 13 allows flexibility when you're locating transects,
- 14 judgment calls in the field.
- 15 Q. Could you move on to Transect 3 and tell
- 16 us where that was located?
- 17 A. Okay, Transect 3 is down here. I'll see
- if this will show up better.
- 19 This is Transect 3 on the south end of
- that north-south belt.
- Q. What is your notation on where this is
- located in relation to the east-west section?
- 23 A. It's -- Transect 3 is north of the newly
- 24 constructed channel. The newly constructed channel

- 2 I'm north of it, going through the
- 3 cleared timber area. And then on my sheet though, my
- 4 notation is out in the crop field, just so that I can
- 5 read it.
- 6 Q. Could you go through the plot ID's for
- 7 Transect 3, where they're located?
- 8 A. Okay. I don't have the distances written
- 9 on the map for Transect 3.
- 10 Q. If you can refresh your recollection from
- 11 referring to the data forms which are found at page
- 12 122 --
- 13 A. Okay, Transect 3 -- and I began all the
- 14 transects the same. I started again, 25 feet out
- 15 from the edge of the channel going through the timber
- 16 area.
- 17 So the first sample is 25 feet from the
- 18 woods, which in this case was crop at that time.
- The next sample point was 110 feet out
- 20 from the fence line.
- 21 And I don't know why I used the fence
- 22 line as my measuring point at that time because I was
- using top of bank for the other samples. For some
- 24 reason I came off the fence line and I went out

- 1 110 feet.
- Then plot ID 3, I went back 100 feet from
- 3 top of bank which would be really close actually with
- 4 the other samples, within about 15 to 20 feet from
- 5 the other samples.
- 6 So there's probably some anomaly there.
- 7 I'm on my transect and I'm sampling closer together
- 8 for some reason.
- 9 Q. Mr. Lenz, you referred to a fence line,
- 10 where would that fence line be?
- 11 A. The fence line over in this area,
- 12 property line?
- 13 Q. And it would extend north?
- A. North south.
- 15 Q. I'm just wondering from where you made
- 16 your measurements?
- 17 A. From over here.
- 18 Q. Does that fence line extend to the
- 19 disturbed area?
- 20 A. No, it does not. The fence line is off
- 21 site.
- 22 O. Is the fence line east of the new stream
- 23 channel?
- A. It's -- of the north-south leg, it's east

- 1 of the stream channel.
- Q. East, okay. Thank you.
- 3 A. Then Transect 3, plot ID 4, I'm 225 feet
- 4 out from top of bank. And I'm going out a little
- 5 further because this timber here juts out a little
- further as well.
- 7 And that was the last plot or transect of
- 8 the day.
- 9 Q. Okay. Will you move on to Transect 1?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. Transect 1 is up on the north
- 11 part of the project area, again heading west into the
- 12 timber.
- 13 Again, I started 25 feet out from top of
- bank for my first sampling point. Then I went
- another -- I went 50 feet to plot ID 2 on transect.
- 16 Q. The next sample location -- does that
- 17 conclude your plots for Transect 1?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. The next sampling point is ID 1. Where
- 20 is the location of that?
- 21 A. Okay, 1 is not -- it doesn't represent a
- transect. It's just a stand-alone sample point.
- Plot ID 1 would be beyond Transect 3.
- 24 So, basically what happened was after I was done with

- 1 my transects, I felt I needed to go further out in
- this bottom area because the timber goes out further
- 3 just to be more comfortable with my sampling.
- 4 Q. When you're saying beyond Transect 3 for
- 5 plot ID 4?
- A. Yes, sir, which would be the western most
- 7 sample of Transect 3.
- 8 Q. Okay, thank you. And the next plot ID 2?
- 9 A. Plot ID 2 is down in the same vicinity as
- 10 plot ID 1, further to the west. So in essence what I
- 11 was doing with 1 and 2 was in essence extending
- 12 Transect 3 further to the west.
- Q. Can you mark those locations with 1 and
- 14 2, please?
- 15 A. (So complied with request.)
- 16 Q. Plot ID 3?
- 17 A. Okay, plot ID 3, leaving the downstream
- 18 end of the project area, coming up on top here to the
- 19 top of the upstream end of the project area, plot
- 20 ID 3 is in this area here upstream of the project
- 21 area.
- 22 And I don't have a reference to distance

- 23 how far. But it was close to the beginning of the
- 24 newly constructed channel in this area over here.

84

1 Q. Okay, plot ID 4? 2 A. Plot ID 4, same general vicinity as plot ID 3, same vicinity, approximately 50 feet or so 3 4 further to the east in this case. 5 Q. And the finally 2 plot ID 1 star 2 star, where are those located? 6 7 A. Okay, these -- sample point Number 1 was 8 100 feet out from the beginning of the newly 9 constructed channel, north of the newly constructed 10 channel or I should say north of the north edge of 11 the newly constructed channel. 12 Plot ID 2, that was 300 feet out from the 13 beginning of the project, of the channel. 14 Q. Thank you. MR. MARTIN: Can we refer to this 15 16 demonstrative exhibit as Demonstrative Exhibit B? JUDGE MORAN: B? 17 18 Are you intending to introduce it as something that will be used in review of the record? 19

You're intending it not just for purposes of the

proceeding like right now, but that it would be part 21 22 of the record. 2.3 MR. MARTIN: Yes, your Honor. 24 JUDGE MORAN: There already was a B. Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705 85 1 Let's go off the record. 2 (WHEREUPON, there was then had 3 an off-the-record discussion.) JUDGE MORAN: Counsel for EPA, you wanted to 4 5 have this introduced as a demonstrative exhibit and you want it designated as EPA Exhibit C? 6 MR. MARTIN: Yes. JUDGE MORAN: I understand there is an 8 9 objection. 10 MR. NORTHRUP: Not so much as a depiction of what Mr. Lenz has done but, again, this aerial, we 11 12 don't know when it was taken. It certainly was taken sometime before 1993? 13 14 I want to make sure that the Court 15 doesn't rely on it for anything other than the 16 locations of where he took the samples. 17 I don't want the Court to look at that

and say oh, here's all these woods and that. We just

- 19 don't know --
- JUDGE MORAN: But you don't have an issue,
- 21 Mr. Northrup, about this -- apparently, you're not
- 22 challenging -- this is an aerial photograph taken at
- 23 a point in time after which the area that was the
- subject of the proceeding was disturbed?

- 1 MR. NORTHRUP: That's correct.
- JUDGE MORAN: All right, well, with that
- 3 qualification, do you have an objection to Counsel
- 4 for Respondent's qualification?
- 5 He is allowing it, but he has objections
- 6 as to scope.
- 7 MR. MARTIN: Well, again, Mr. Greg Carlson
- 8 can testify as to the source of this aerial
- 9 photograph and its reliability and its authenticity.
- This is subject of his testimony and we
- 11 will proceed with that.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: So then you may want to renew
- 13 your objection after Mr. Carlson.
- 14 Do you have other questions to ask this
- 15 witness about this.
- MR. MARTIN: No, I don't, your Honor.

- JUDGE MORAN: Do you have other questions of
- 18 this witness?
- MR. MARTIN: Yes, I do.
- 20 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. Mr. Lenz, could you please turn to Bates
- pages 57 through 60?
- JUDGE MORAN: Would you repeat those again
- for me, please?

- 1 MR. MARTIN: Turn to pages 57 through 60.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 3 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. Do you recognize these three pages --
- 5 four pages rather?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 O. What is it?
- 8 A. Karen Marzac of the Enforcement Section
- 9 of our regulatory bank at that time had requested
- 10 from Tony Antonacci some information from
- 11 Conservation on information on crop prices in the
- 12 area.
- And this is what Tony Antonacci provided
- her with just to get an idea of what kind of costs

- are involved in farming an area and what kind of
- 16 return you get, economic return.
- 17 Q. When did Miss Marzac request this
- 18 information?
- 19 A. I'm not sure of the exact date when she
- 20 requested it. This would have been sometime after we
- 21 had received the complaint and done an initial
- 22 investigation.
- This would have been part of the
- investigation that we were doing.

- 1 Q. Were you working with Miss Marzac at the
- 2 time?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And were you working with her concerning
- 5 the alleged violation site?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Does this memo concern the alleged
- 8 violation site?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Who is Tony Antonacci?
- 11 A. Tony Antonacci is the District
- 12 Conservationist in Marion County, Illinois, the USDA

13	Natural	Resources	Conservation	Service
1 J	Naculai	ICSOULCCS	COILDCT Vactori	DCT VICC.

- Q. Do you know his job title?
- 15 A. Conservationist.
- Q. Conservationist?
- 17 A. That is his job title. He's in charge of
- 18 the NRCS office in Marion County.
- 19 Q. Is it your -- the Corps' practice to work
- with the NRCS on wetland delineations?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Could you describe this, please?
- A. Would you repeat that?
- Q. Could you describe the nature of your

- 1 working with the NRCS for wetlands purposes?
- 2 A. Yes, sir. When NRCS -- when they make a
- 3 wetland determination on crop land and non-crop land
- 4 areas for USDA purposes, they will commonly info copy
- 5 us with that information.
- In the past, we've had Memorandum of
- 7 Agreement on how to conduct wetland delineations,
- 8 just to dovetail our requirement for Section 404 of
- 9 the Clean Water Act and the Food Security Act.
- 10 So we work with them commonly and have

- 11 agreements with them.
- 12 Q. You mentioned having a memorandum of
- 13 agreement with NRCS. Could you describe that?
- 14 A. We have -- I should say we had a
- 15 Memorandum of Agreement with USDA Natural Resources
- 16 Conservation Service whereby they would copy us with
- 17 all that information.
- 18 And that Agreement entitled when we would
- 19 and would not be responsible for wetland
- 20 delineations. And we would accept their
- 21 determinations on crop land, and they would accept
- 22 our determination on crop land, and who did what
- 23 really in terms of wetland delineations in terms of
- 24 the agricultural areas.

- 1 Q. Why did Karen Marzac ask the NRCS office
- 2 for crop budget information?
- 3 A. The importance of this information is
- 4 that -- and this is part of the investigation of a
- 5 violation, mind you. One of the things that we have
- 6 to consider is the economic benefit of a violation to
- 7 somebody. The thought being that you should not make
- 8 an economic benefit through a violation, that it's

- 9 not fair to people who go through --
- 10 MR. SMALL: I'm going to object. Number one,
- 11 there's no foundation that's been laid. There's
- 12 nothing to show any of these figures are correct or
- accurate or how they got to them.
- 14 Also, it references 1999 and that's part
- of our continuing objection on the basis of the
- 16 Statute of Limitation issues.
- 17 We just don't think it's been presented.
- JUDGE MORAN: Well, I agree with you. It's
- 19 what I alluded to before. There are -- I don't agree
- 20 with you in total about the -- I'm not ruling on this
- 21 question of -- your question of 1999.
- I understand your objection to that in
- 23 terms of the Statute of Limitations.
- 24 But what I'm addressing is there are

- 1 significant foundation problems here which could have
- 2 been cured by a stipulation as to the authenticity of
- 3 the document.
- But agreeing with Mr. Small that -- you
- 5 have the fundamental problem to start of that this
- 6 was not a letter an addressed to Mr. Lenz.

7 You	haven't	laid a	any f	oundation	to	say
-------	---------	--------	-------	-----------	----	-----

- 8 oh, I was with Miss Marzac when she requested crop
- 9 budget information. And when it came in, she said
- 10 come on over -- what's your first name?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Ward.
- JUDGE MORAN: -- Ward, look at this. This
- just came in. And then I looked out it with her -
- 14 this is extremely hypothetical how this could play
- 15 out and she showed me this information.
- And there were three pages I remember in
- 17 addition to the cover letter from Mr. Tony -- how do
- 18 you pronounce his last name?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Antonacci.
- JUDGE MORAN: Antonacci, because you can't
- 21 associate other than -- this isn't the way that these
- 22 proceedings work. It isn't a matter of good will.
- I don't suspect that you did anything
- underhanded here at all. But there's a way to do it,

- 1 and it wasn't followed here.
- 2 And so there's no way -- attached to
- 3 sample budgets, there's nothing that ties Page 57 to
- 4 the three pages that follows it.

- 5 The other problem is this is not from the
- 6 Department of Agriculture, but it's further removed.
- 7 It's from the University of Illinois Extension who
- 8 apparently does planning budgets.
- 9 And you haven't laid a foundation for the
- 10 way to determine to interpret this, price for corn or
- soybeans and break even price per bushel and soy bean
- 12 crop budget, et cetera.
- 13 The host of problems which I just touched
- on a little bit here with this exhibit are at this
- point insurmountable in terms of content. I
- 16 understand the basic principle, but this wasn't how
- 17 to achieve it.
- 18 What you're trying to show is people who
- don't comply and this is something that's not
- 20 challengeable people who do not abide by the law
- 21 should not have an edge by virtue of that
- 22 noncompliance which everyone else has to bear, the
- expense of compliance.
- 24 And so they shouldn't -- their profits

- from crops however you interpret it, but you don't
- 2 look like an expert in interpreting this data. I'm

- 3 certainly not.
- 4 The objection is sustained.
- 5 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, you're correct when
- 6 you say this goes to the Corps of Engineers' analysis
- 7 of how to address alleged violations.
- 8 JUDGE MORAN: And I'm telling you, as it
- 9 stands now you can't use this to prove that part your
- 10 case. You can't use this document, CX 57, 59, 60 or
- 11 61 for -- pick one of the many reasons that I've
- 12 mentioned.
- MR. MARTIN: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. Mr. Lenz, could you turn to Bates 71
- 16 through 111?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Please take a look through the letters
- that are included within those page numbers.
- A. 71 through what?
- Q. One eleven.
- A. (So complied with request.)
- Q. Do you recognize these letters?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Can you tell us generally what they are? 1 2 JUDGE MORAN: Well, first, tell us -- give me some more foundation as to how he recognizes these 3 4 letters before he gets into what they are. 5 When he first saw them, how it was that 6 he first saw them, did he see them all at once. 7 You know, lay more of a foundation so I can understand before he gets into telling me what 8 9 the letters mean because we may have the same 10 foundational problems. I don't know. 11 BY MR. MARTIN: 12 Q. When did you first see these letters? 13 A. Okay, these are letters -- these are 14 letters -- well, I first saw these letters back in 1996. 15 JUDGE MORAN: How was it that you first saw 16 these letters back in 1996, Mr. Lenz? 17 18 Do you recall? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, these are letters 20 they were forwarded, a copy furnished to the Corps by 21 the Natural Resources Conservation Service. 22 JUDGE MORAN: And were they put in a file 23 with the Heser name in your office? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, they were.

95

1 JUDGE MORAN: And is that how you first saw

- them, in this file, or did you see them when they
- 3 first came in?
- 4 THE WITNESS: I saw them when they first came
- 5 in.
- 6 JUDGE MORAN: Were they directed to you or
- 7 someone else?
- 8 THE WITNESS: They were not addressed to me,
- 9 sir, they were an addressed to Sue Janota-Summers in
- 10 our office who provided them to me.
- 11 MR. SMALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object
- 12 on the basis of --
- JUDGE MORAN: On what basis?
- MR. SMALL: Number one on foundation.
- JUDGE MORAN: Well, he's working on the
- 16 foundation right now.
- 17 MR. SMALL: Number two, relevancy and number
- 18 three, case 3M Company versus Browner where it talks
- 19 about prior violations in excess of five years
- 20 whether they are administrative or judicial are not
- 21 to be admissible.
- 22 JUDGE MORAN: Let's take these one at a time.
- 23 First of all, foundation, I'm trying to assist EPA
- 24 with the intent of trying to have a full and fair

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

- 1 hearing in terms of trying to understand the
- 2 foundational basis for this document.
- 3 As to your point about whether I can
- 4 consider it, in any event I'm going to reserve
- 5 judgment until I have the luxury of considering your
- 6 argument that it's outside the Statute of Limitations
- 7 and shouldn't be considered in any event.
- 8 I'm not sure what their purpose is behind
- 9 the exhibit at this point in time. We haven't gotten
- 10 to that yet.
- And what was your third point?
- MR. SMALL: Relevancy.
- JUDGE MORAN: Isn't relevancy tried to the
- 14 Statute of Limitations and prior violations whether
- 15 they can be considered.
- 16 MR. SMALL: And actually I want to make clear
- 17 that that's actually two separate issues when I bring
- 18 up the Statute of Limitations issue and the prior
- 19 violations issue, time limitations on it.
- 20 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And I'll really be in a
- 21 better position assuming we get through the
- 22 foundational part of it -- I'll be in a better
- 23 position when I'm not going because I think it would

- 1 objections until I'm able to consider the legal
- 2 arguments concerning that and that will be in
- 3 post-hearing briefs.
- It's just the best way for me to handle
- 5 that.
- 6 So that addresses all the objections,
- 7 assuming we get some more information from him in
- 8 this business about foundation.
- 9 So, Mr. Lenz, you said that this other
- 10 person in your office to whom these documents were
- addressed brought these over to you?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE MORAN: And do you recall the year and
- 14 month when these documents were first brought to your
- 15 attention?
- 16 THE WITNESS: This was in 1996. I don't
- 17 recall the month.
- JUDGE MORAN: It was in 1996 that you first
- saw these?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay, and then as you sit here

today under oath, do you recognize that these are the
same documents that were presented to you at that
time and identified by this person who was your

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 782-4705

- 1 supervisor or colleague?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE MORAN: You recognize these documents
- 4 as the same ones that you looked back at in 1996?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay, subject to the other
- 7 objections it seems to me that there's been
- 8 sufficient foundation laid for him to talk about
- 9 this.
- 10 Whether I can consider it in terms of the
- 11 case, I don't know yet. I'm not sure about what
- 12 Counsel intends to do -- what Counsel's intent of the
- 13 exhibits are.
- 14 About how much longer do you -- and I'm
- not in any way trying to truncate your direct
- 16 examination of this witness, but can you just give me
- 17 a sense of it, if you know.
- MR. MARTIN: Another hour.
- 19 JUDGE MORAN: We're still going to break

20	though for lunch at noon.
21	Look, I want to express a couple thoughts
22	about the case at this juncture. And this may be a
23	reflection of my being obtuse. Sometimes I can be
24	obtuse and I feel scrupulous about it, not in my
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	99
1	decision when I actually issue it but in terms of the
2	questions during the actual course of the hearing.
3	Frankly, let's put aside questions of
4	economic benefit, computation of penalty. To get
5	back to more basic questions about this case, and I'm
6	going to ask the witness if you don't ask him later
7	on after the lunch break.
8	I don't really even need an aerial
9	photograph in this case. I mean, he hasn't explained
10	why that was critical, maybe it is.
11	But as far as I understand, there's no
12	testimony that or allegations that he was confused
13	about where he was. He's physically there. He does
14	all these tests. He comes to certain conclusions
15	about the nature of the soil, and one of the main
16	arguments that the Respondents are contesting here

17 they're saying among other things, these are not

18	wetlands or they're not the kind of wetlands that are
19	recognized under the Clean Water Act, right?
20	Is that fair?
21	MR. NORTHRUP: That's reasonably fair.
22	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. That's one of your
23	arguments. So I don't know, it escapes me, again, it
24	might be because I can be obtuse, what's all this
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	100
1	business about
2	Why is it critical to go through all this
3	business about aerial satellite pictures of the moon
4	of the site?
5	He's there. It isn't like I guess I
6	guess you could have a case where a person totally
7	through satellite imagery was able to determine the
8	nature of the wetlands only by sky views.
9	And it seems to me that's a lot more
10	probative and, again, there's no confusion about

So I don't know why all this swirling
about -- about this given that Mr. Lenz was actually
there and did sixteen data forms.

11

where he was.

By the way, when you did these sixteen

- soil samples, right, soil determinations? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. JUDGE MORAN: Why did you pick sixteen? Does 18 19 the Corps tell you do more than five and less than 20 eighteen? Or how did you happen to pick sixteen? 21 THE WITNESS: No, sir, it was strictly 22 subjective. 23 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And some of them showed 24 - but not all of them - a lot of them showed -- you Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705 101
 - 1 concluded they were hydric soils?
 - THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
 - JUDGE MORAN: And a few were not?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: But you didn't go above or
- 6 below the disturbed the area to determine if there
- 7 were hydric soils above or below, right?
- 8 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: And you didn't go to
- 10 undisturbed areas and test that you? Just looked in
- 11 the area where it was disturbed or near that area?
- 12 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 13 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And if you had just done

14	one of these soil samples, Mr. Lenz, in the disturbed
15	area or near to it would that have been based on your
16	experience sufficient to make a determination as to
17	whether you were dealing with hydric soils or do you
18	have to do more?
19	THE WITNESS: You could have done one, but
20	the more you do, the more comfortable you are the
21	results basically.
22	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And were aerial photos
23	critical for you to be able to do your job in
24	analyzing whether in fact wetlands were disturbed by

102

1 the activizes here? Were aerial photos -- you couldn't have 2 3 done it? Are you going to tell me that, gee, we couldn't have proceeded -- I couldn't have -- the case would have fallen out? 5 6 Tell me, were aerial photographs critical 7 to your getting your job done here? THE WITNESS: No, sir. If I had shown up on 8 9 the site and had forgotten them, I could have gotten by and sampled. But at some point in time I would 10 have had to put them on an aerial or a map. 11

12	JUDGE MORAN: Why?
13	THE WITNESS: Just to look at the extent of
14	the area we're dealing with.
15	JUDGE MORAN: But you would do that from
16	being on the ground, didn't you?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I did. But at some
18	point in time you have to make a map or you have to
19	show the area, look at the area that you're in.
20	JUDGE MORAN: Is that like the photographs
21	that were taken?
22	THE WITNESS: I prefer aerial photographs.
23	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. But you did take
24	photographs from the ground or someone with you,
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	102

1	correct?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3	JUDGE MORAN: And you were there when those
4	photographs were taken?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
6	JUDGE MORAN: Did anyone anyone who was
7	there during your visit say to you, you know, this
8	isn't our land?

Did any one of the Hesers or anyone

10	suggest you were in some other person's property?
11	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
12	JUDGE MORAN: Did they acknowledge that you
13	were on their property?
14	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
15	JUDGE MORAN: Now did you have these aerial
16	photographs before you went out for your first visit?
17	I think you told me that you had three visits to the
18	site, correct?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	JUDGE MORAN: And did you have these aerial
21	photographs with you on your first visit?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I had aerial photographs
23	with me at that time.
24	JUDGE MORAN: And just backing up for the
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

- 1 bigger view, it's my understanding from your
- 2 testimony yesterday and today that -- that -- I see
- 3 someone shaking their head.
- 4 MR. MARTIN: I'm trying to turn my phone off.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: Well, it's a nice little tune.
- 6 It's my understanding and you tell me if
- 7 I'm correct about this, that in determining whether

8	you're dealing with wetlands in your lengthy
9	experience with the Corps of Engineers and your
10	educational background, you don't have to see water
11	flowing to determine that you have a wetland, right?
12	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
13	JUDGE MORAN: All right. In fact, in this
14	instance the way you went about determining was
15	primarily doing soil analysis, correct?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	JUDGE MORAN: On conclusion of those sixteen
18	analyses, you concluded that the area that was
19	disturbed was in fact wetlands?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	JUDGE MORAN: It's twelve o'clock.
22	Yes, Counsel for Respondents.
23	MR. NORTHRUP: When you're talking about

these area photos, our position on that, why we think

105

- 1 they're important is because when the Hesers and
- 2 this will all be brought in testimony when the
- 3 Hesers purchased the property, these woods were not
- 4 there.

24

5 The Hesers did not do the logging or

- 6 timbering. Our fear is that U.S. EPA is going to get
- 7 in all these aerial photographs that we don't know
- 8 when they were taken, that show all of these woods
- 9 and try to impute that oh, these must have been there
- when the Hesers purchased the property.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay, so you think that --
- MR. NORTHRUP: And we think that's the only
- 13 evidence of that, these aerial photographs. That's
- 14 why we're concerned about it.
- JUDGE MORAN: Again, to make sure I have it
- 16 straight:
- 17 You're saying that when the Hesers first
- 18 purchased the property, whenever that was, these
- woods were not there, correct?
- MR. NORTHRUP: Correct.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. Well, is that so
- 22 critical, I mean, in terms of my figuring out whether
- they were wetlands and whether there was disturbance
- and un permitted alteration of an existing channel?

- 1 Doesn't that go -- isn't that similar to
- 2 if I arrive that there was a violation and then
- 3 there's the penalty?

4	MR. NORTHRUP: They're different issues. But
5	one of the big allegations I think you're going to
6	hear about is that the Hesers came in tore up this
7	part of the riparian corridor which leads into all
8	these issue of significant access, and we just want
9	to make sure we have the factual basis.
10	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And you'll certainly
11	have a full opportunity to do that.
12	Okay, it's 12:02. Let's take a lunch
13	break, and be back at 1:00 and we'll continue until
14	4.
15	(WHEREUPON, a lunch recess was
16	taken.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

record that in an off-the-record discussion just 2 3 minutes before, I did ask Counsel, Mr. Small for the Respondent, for the citation for two cases. And he 4 5 gave me those, so I'm going to perhaps have a chance 6 to look at them, I don't think I'll get to them 7 tonight, but by tomorrow I'll be looking at them. The other thing I want to say is we have 8 9 all these EPA people here, sometimes I'm viewed as 10 being hard on EPA. But when you go back to Chicago 11 however this case turns out, don't go back with that 12 unsophisticated view of what I do here. 13 My rulings have to do with the integrity of the administrative process. And if you don't know 14 15 now then I'm telling you now that the administrative 16 proceeding is indistinguishable from any civil proceeding other than what I alluded to about the 17 18 difference in terms of the acceptance of hearsay. 19 And so when I insist on proper protocol 20 for the presentation of evidence, it has nothing do 21 with my being hard to one side or the other. It has 22 to do with the integrity of the process. 23 All right, are we ready to proceed,

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 782-4705

24

Mr. Martin?

- 1 MR. MARTIN: Yes, sir.
- 2 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 3 Q. Mr. Lenz, we were discussing, starting at
- 4 pages 71 through page 111, a series of correspondence
- from U.S. EPA to Andrew Heser?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And did you say your office was copied on
- 8 those letters?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, we were copy furnished.
- 10 Q. Would that be Sue Janota-Summers?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what was her position in your office?
- 13 A. She's a Project Manager in the Regulatory
- 14 Branch.
- 15 Q. After she received these letters, what
- 16 would she do with them?
- 17 A. With these letters, she provided these
- 18 letters to Karen Marzac, who is Chief of the
- 19 Enforcement Section.
- 20 The reason for doing that is she saw that
- 21 there were violations in the group of letters here,
- 22 so she automatically turned them over to Karen Marzac
- in the Enforcement Section.
- 24 Karen Marzac then turned them over to me

```
just because I'm more familiar with the USDA process.
```

- 2 Q. And why did she turn them over to you?
- 3 A. She turned them over to me just because
- 4 I'm more familiar. I used to work for the USDA
- 5 Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- 6 So I'm familiar with these letters. I've
- 7 sent them out, I developed them. So I'm familiar
- 8 with them so it's easy for me to go through them and
- 9 understand what's going on basically.
- 10 Q. First of all, in 1996 you worked for the
- 11 Enforcement Division of your office?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And with Karen Marzac?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you describe your experience with
- 16 USDA in terms of farm bill benefits?
- 17 A. Okay, my experience with the USDA and the
- farm bill stems back when I worked for the USDA. As
- 19 a soil scientist, I did a lot of the soil mapping
- 20 that was required to be in compliance with the sod
- 21 buster provisions and swamp buster provisions, the
- 22 USDA and the RCS had to develop -- they had to
- 23 determine highly erodible lands and wetlands on
- 24 individual farm tracks.

1	So I was part of the team that did that.
2	And at the Soil Conservation Service, I did
3	conservation planning and actually sent out these
4	types of letters.
5	BY MR. MARTIN:
6	Q. You mentioned the term sod buster, can
7	you explain that?
8	A. Sod busters refers to provisions in the
9	Food Security Act where if you're not allowed to
LO	clear highly erodible land without having any
L1	conservation plan developed on that to stem erosion.
L2	Q. Did you also mention the term swamp
L3	buster?
L 4	A. Yes, sir, swamp buster was another
L5	component of the farm bill.
L 6	Q. Can you describe that component?
L7	A. The swamp buster provisions of the Food
L8	Security Act, and this is for people that are in the
L9	USDA program, you lose your USDA program eligibility
20	if you clear a wetlands in such a way as to bring it
21	possible to put it into crop production.

Q. And how does the USDA enforce the swamp

- buster provisions on the farm bill?
- 24 A. It's enforced basically through the

- 1 carrot of the USDA benefits. You're not eligible for
- 2 benefits if you clear this wetland.
- 3 So that's how the enforcement works. And
- 4 you'll have to pay back subsidies if you received
- 5 subsidies and it was found out that you did clear
- 6 wetlands. So if you're in a USDA program, you have
- 7 to pay back.
- 8 Q. Can you describe the general process that
- 9 is implemented in the swamp busting positions of the
- 10 farm bill?
- 11 A. Excuse me? Could you rephrase?
- 12 Q. Can you explain the process by which the
- 13 USDA determines whether farmland has been converted
- under the swamp buster provisions of the farm bill?
- 15 A. Yes, sir. What happens if you're a USDA
- 16 participant any land that you farm, you have to have
- 17 highly erodible land and a wetlands determination on
- 18 all farm fields on your land.
- 19 So if you intend to bring any new land
- 20 into production, if there's an area over here and I

21	want to clear that area and bring it into crop
22	production. You would have to go into a USDA office
23	and say hey, I want to clear this land.
24	And they will look at it and say well, we
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	112
1	have not done a wetlands determination on that piece
2	of land. We need to do that to ensure you maintain
3	your USDA eligibility.
4	Then they will go out and they will do a
5	wetlands determination on that tract of land and they
6	may say either yes, it's a wetland. You can't bring
7	it into production or if it's not a wetland, you can
8	clear it and bring it into production and maintain
9	your eligibility.
10	So they will send these letters out as
11	part of that effort for people to be in compliance
12	with the farm bill.
13	Q. When you refer to these letters, which
14	letters are you referring to?
15	A. This letter this first one here, 71,
16	Bates Number 71, this is a typical letter that they
17	would send out.
18	Q. Describe what this letter is about?

- 19 A. This --20 Ο. Uh-huh. It's dated October 18, 1996 to Mr. Andrew 21 22 This is a preliminary technical Heser. 23 determination, so they're making a preliminary 24 determination here that wetlands were on this Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
 - 1 specific tract of land. It's listed as farm number

- 2 6487, tract 5027.
- 3 And they're saying some unnumbered fields
- 4 or unnumbered areas have wetlands on them. Because
- 5 you've have a tract of land and they'll have a field
- or field numbers, they don't bother numbering areas
- 7 that they aren't crop production.
- 8 They just make a generic reference to
- 9 unnumbered areas. So they explain on page one -- I
- 10 guess it's page 71, the first page of the letter,
- 11 what the eligibility programs are. And then if you
- 12 turn to page two, they continue with the eligibility.
- They also on page two give you the
- opportunity to appeal their decision. And that's in
- 15 that second paragraph. And they give you your appeal
- 16 rights. And then at the bottom, second paragraph on

- 17 the bottom there on page 72, they tell that you this
- 18 preliminary determination will become final in
- 19 30 days then if appeal isn't registered.
- Q. Are you familiar with how preliminary
- 21 technical determinations on wetlands are made after
- the farm bill?
- How many preliminary technical
- 24 determinations that wetlands exist are made on the

- farm bill?
- 2 A. These are made continuously.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry.
- A. These are made continuously. There is a
- 5 seasonal aspect to it in terms of spring and fall.
- 6 But these are everyday kind of
- 7 determinations that they would do.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with how the preliminary
- 9 technical determinations that wetlands exist are made
- 10 by USDA on the farm bill?
- 11 A. Yes, sir. These are off-site
- determinations. Nobody goes out to the field on
- 13 preliminary determinations.
- JUDGE MORAN: Well, how do they do it?

- THE WITNESS: Off of maps.
- JUDGE MORAN: Off maps.
- 17 THE WITNESS: We actually have I think what's
- 18 called wetlands conventions where they through and
- 19 look at maps and aerial photographs, slide reviews,
- and they'll determine using those off-site
- 21 procedures.
- They have wetland inventory maps.
- 23 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. Are additional procedures applied in

- 1 order to make a decision final?
- 2 A. At the time this was done, they were
- 3 finalized just by virtue of the time period. They no
- 4 longer do that.
- Now to finalize and certify a wetland
- 6 determination, now they require a site visit.
- 7 Q. Okay, so, in 1996 you're saying that they
- 8 didn't require site visits?
- 9 A. It was my understanding that they did
- 10 not. That they had that 30-day period.
- 11 Q. Could you turn to Bates 84 -- page 84?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Can you describe what this documer	t is?
--	-------

- 14 A. This is a field data sheet out of the '87
- 15 Manual that was filled out by NRCS.
- Q. Is there an applicant name on the form?
- 17 A. Yes, sir. It says Bobby and Andy Heser.
- 18 Q. And is there a plot number referenced?
- 19 A. They reference tract number 5027-A, which
- 20 I believe they're referencing to a site map -- a
- 21 marked on map.
- 22 They would have -- with these
- determinations, they would attach a map. It would be
- on the previous, Bates Number 83.

- 1 There you have tract number 5027. If you
- 2 turn the sheet sideways, the numbers are oriented
- 3 upright. It's kind of in the upper right-hand
- 4 quadrant of the page, 5027, which is what this letter
- 5 references.
- Q. You testified that the data form is under
- 7 the Corps of Engineers 1987 Manual?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Does that data form indicate to you that
- 10 a site visit was made?

- 11 A. Yes, sir, a site visit was made on this
- 12 tract.
- 13 Q. So despite what you said earlier, the
- 14 general practice was not to visit sites, but in this
- 15 case, it appears from the data form number one that a
- 16 site visit was made?
- 17 A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. Could you please turn to pages 46 through
- 19 56?
- JUDGE MORAN: What is it, Counsel?
- 21 MR. MARTIN: Pages 46 to 56.
- JUDGE MORAN: Just before we go to those, I
- have to ask: When you were just referring, Mr. Lenz,
- 24 to Complainant's Exhibit Bates stamped 84 and the

- 1 page, the map that accompanied that.
- 2 Do you remember talking about that a
- 3 minute ago?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Pardon?
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: Page 84, CX 84, Bates stamped
- 6 84. You just talked about it when you talked about
- 7 the map that accompanies it.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

9	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. My question for you is:
LO	Does that wetland determination reflected
L1	on that data form, on CX 84, and the map which
L2	accompanies that
L3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
L 4	JUDGE MORAN: (continuing) does that
L5	relate to the same land which is at issue in this
L6	proceeding or not?
L7	THE WITNESS: No, it is not.
L8	MR. SMALL: Your Honor, then we're going to
L9	make a continuing objection, again, that it's outside
20	the five-year time frame and relevancy.
21	JUDGE MORAN: Right. Well, I've already
22	spoken to the five-year argument and I told you how
23	I'm handling that.
24	But as to relevancy, I'm not sure what
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

1 the relevancy is.

2 Do you want to speak to that, Counsel?

3 What is the relevancy of this plot which is not

4 related to the plot that's at issuance here?

5 MR. MARTIN: Well, your Honor, it shows in

6 our minds, a pattern and practice of converting

- 7 wetlands and farmland. It's not adjudicated
- 8 violation, we realize that.
- 9 But it shows both having practice and
- 10 also shows knowledge of wetlands protection programs,
- 11 knowledge of all the agencies involved.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay, that's enough. I accept
- that as being relevant for the reason that you just
- 14 articulated.
- 15 And notice that that's different from --
- that's a legal argument that you made. I don't need
- 17 to have a witness tell me that. That's something
- 18 that you do.
- So subject to the other objection which
- 20 I'm not ruling on at this time.
- 21 Mr. Small, I overrule your objection on
- 22 relevancy for the reasons that I just accepted that
- 23 Mr. Martin just articulated.
- Okay, now you can go to the next page.

- 1 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 2 Q. I just wanted to confirm that the data
- 3 forms that we just discussed do relate to the
- 4 October 18th letter that was found on page 71; is

- 5 that correct, Mr. Lenz?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: And also, not to break your
- 8 stride again, but just to remind you just trying to
- 9 be helpful here that the last exhibit that was at
- 10 admitted was CX 21.
- 11 I have CX 40, 20, and 21. And it's not
- 12 up to me to be keeping track of those things that are
- 13 moved for admission into the record. But that's what
- 14 my notes show, that CX 8 and 14, the Government has
- not moved for those to be admitted.
- MR. MARTIN: That's correct.
- 17 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- MR. MARTIN: We were intending on moving
- 19 Exhibit 8 testimony subject to the speed memo
- 20 objection.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. So later, right.
- MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I point out that
- 23 Exhibit C had been admitted
- JUDGE MORAN: With the limitations that

- 1 Mr. Northrup referred to, Exhibit C, that's
- 2 Demonstrative Exhibit C, so I stand corrected on

- 3 that.
- 4 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 5 Q. Mr. Lenz, could you move to page 46?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. That would be 46 through 57. Would you
- 8 review these letters and tell me -- describe what you
- 9 see?
- 10 A. Pardon me?
- 11 Q. Could you review these letters and
- describe what they are?
- 13 A. These are letters similar to the one we
- just went through. Actually, these are different
- just because these are not preliminary
- 16 determinations.
- 17 At this point, this letter here is
- 18 actually a final technical determination on wetlands.
- 19 Q. And were these letters part of your
- official case file at the Corps of Engineers?
- 21 A. Yes, sir, they are.
- Q. Did you attach these letters as well as
- 23 the preliminary letter we just discussed to the
- referral that was sent to the U.S. EPA?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And why did you do that?
- 3 A. The reason I did was twofold. First of
- 4 all, these letters show that the Hesers had cleared
- 5 wetlands in the past.
- 6 It was determined by the NRCS and they
- 7 were referring these letters to us if we wanted to
- 8 pursue a violation under Section 404 of the Clean
- 9 Water Act.
- 10 Q. Mr. Lenz, are you aware of whether the
- 11 Heser brothers appealed any final determinations that
- were made of the farm bill?
- 13 A. Would you repeat the question?
- Q. Are you aware of whether the Heser
- brothers appealed any of the final determinations
- 16 made under the farm bill?
- 17 A. To my knowledge, they did not.
- MR. NORTHRUP: Just for the record, we'd like
- 19 to show a continuing objection as well for 46 to 56.
- JUDGE MORAN: Which are?
- MR. NORTHRUP: Relevance and the Statute of
- 22 Limitations.
- MR. SMALL: And, your Honor, also the
- 24 adjudication. The issue of adjudication that's more

than five years removed, which is another grounds,

- 2 also.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And I'm not making any
- 4 final ruling on that. But I think it's -- the
- 5 five-year business, to use that phrase, capture that.
- To my mind five-year does not impact
- 7 history of violation. For instance, one has 20 years
- 8 of history of violation. You don't stop and look at
- 9 history any less so than if you had a criminal case
- 10 and you had someone that was a recidivist, and you
- 11 were looking at that person's priors.
- 12 It isn't like the slate gets wiped clean
- 13 after a certain period of time.
- MR. SMALL: Your Honor --
- JUDGE MORAN: It's a distinct aspect on the
- 16 history of violations.
- 17 MR. SMALL: We would again cite the case of
- 18 3M Company versus Browner that speaks to that issue
- 19 which you're getting.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 21 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 22 Q. Mr. Lenz, speaking of the Corps' file in
- 23 this matter specifically with regard to the farm bill
- 24 provisions of it relating to the Hesers, did you

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

```
1 compare the case file that you brought over for
```

- 2 hearing with the contents of EPA Exhibit 8?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And were you able to find the documents
- 5 that were not included in EPA's Exhibit 8?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, just to explain, we
- 8 have provided a tabbed copy of missing documents that
- 9 were not included in EPA's Exhibit 8. We gave them
- 10 that last night.
- MR. NORTHRUP: That's correct, your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. But there's no intention
- on the part of EPA to include those within Exhibit 8,
- it's just for completeness of that record, of that
- investigatory file or whatever you want to call it.
- That's what you're referring to?
- MR. MARTIN: That's correct, your Honor.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And Respondent's Counsel
- 19 has acknowledged that?
- 20 BY MR. MARTIN:
- Q. Mr. Lenz, I'd like to call your attention
- 22 to pages 61 and 62.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. What is it?
3	A. This is a letter that I wrote to Robert
4	and Andrew Heser on December 22, 1996. This is a
5	as a result of the information that we got from
6	USDA - NRCS, that documentation said that they had
7	cleared wetlands in the St. Louis district on two
8	tracts of land and identified those in this letter
9	just informing the Hesers that the clearing was a
10	violation of the Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
11	In the first paragraph, I outlined or
12	identified one of the tracts as farm Number 2661,
13	tract 4268 were they cleared .7 acres of wetlands.
14	In the second paragraph, I identify
15	another farm number 6488, tract number 10366, where
16	they cleared 1.3 acres of wetlands. And then
17	informed them that further on in the letter that what
18	they did was a violation of Section 404 of the Clean
19	Water Act.
20	Also explained to them that we were not
21	going to pursue this as an enforcement action, but

22	told them that we did consider them at this point
23	excuse me. Let me back up a second.
24	Yes, we told them we were not going to
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	125
1	pursue anything at this time. But we did tell them
2	that we did consider them knowledgeable of the
3	provisions of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
4	And I said that any future land clearing,
5	excavation, or discharges or fill of wetlands or work
6	in stream channels or other waters of the United
7	States will be considered to be done with the
8	knowledge of the need for permits under Section 404
9	of the Clean Water Act?
10	Q. First of all, did you send this letter?
11	A. Yes, sir, I did.
12	Q. The stamp of your name does that indicate
13	the letter was sent?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. Were the two tracts involved in this
16	letter, two tracts that were subject to final
17	determinations under the farm bill?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. You said that three other tracts that

20	were	subject	to	the	final	determinations	under	the

- 21 farm bill were in a different Corps of Engineers'
- 22 District?
- 23 A. Yes, sir. The other violations were in
- the Louisville District.

- 1 Q. So your office had no jurisdiction over
- 2 those sites?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Is there any reason that you can give why
- 5 you defer enforcement of these two tracts?
- 6 A. We didn't have any other history of
- 7 either permitting or violations with the Hesers. And
- 8 we do have discretionary authority over pursuing
- 9 violations.
- 10 And we looked at where the violations
- 11 occurred and then took into consideration things like
- 12 that. But we wanted to also ensure that we put a
- 13 stop to it.
- 14 So I sent them this letter and basically
- 15 said you need to -- you can't be doing this. You
- 16 need to have a permit.
- 17 Q. Did you receive a response to this letter

- 18 from the Hesers?
- 19 A. No, I did not, I just received -- I
- 20 believe this was sent certified mail. So other than
- 21 that, that's the only receipt we have.
- 22 Q. So how do you know this was sent
- 23 certified mail?
- A. On the bottom of the front page, it's

- 1 noted that it was sent certified.
- 2 Q. Do you have personal knowledge of whether
- 3 you received the certificates received associated
- 4 with certified mail?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did we?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. SMALL: Your Honor, just to be clear for
- 9 the record, again, it's the same objection,
- 10 continuing objection on relevancy, the five-year
- 11 Statute of Limitations, and the five-year prior
- 12 adjudication limits.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 14 BY MR. MARTIN:
- 15 Q. Mr. Lenz, you looked over the entire

- 16 complaint and the Exhibit 8, have you not?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. This is the EPA excerpts of the Corps of
- 19 Engineers' referral letter?
- 20 A. (Nodded head up and down.)
- 21 Q. What were the only parts missing from the
- 22 Corps file, from these excerpts?
- 23 A. The parts missing were the ones that we
- 24 tabbed yesterday, which would be the USDA

- 1 correspondence.
- We're missing pieces of those.
- 3 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, at this time I would
- 4 like to move to include Complainant's Exhibit 8 into
- 5 the record.
- JUDGE MORAN: And when you say 8, you mean
- 7 except for the speed memos.
- 8 MR. MARTIN: Yes, sir.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: These are letters which are CX
- 10 57 through CX 60 which was objected to by Mr. Small,
- 11 if I recall.
- 12 MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. I was just paging
- 13 through this.

- 14 JUDGE MORAN: Well, I said that -- you're
- moving for the introduction of Complainant's
- 16 Exhibit 8 --
- MR. MARTIN: Yes.
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: -- (continuing) except for the
- 19 pages that deal with the speed memo from Mr. Tony
- 20 Antonacci.
- 21 MR. MARTIN: Antonacci, correct. That would
- be pages 57 through 60.
- MR. NORTHRUP: Your Honor, we would still
- object to at least those portions of Exhibit 8,

- 1 particularly the photos and the videotape for which
- 2 no foundation has been provided.
- We also raise the issue with Bates Number
- 4 152 and 153, which was the aerial photos.
- 5 And so I raise the same issues. We just
- don't have a foundation as to when that picture was
- 7 taken. But I think that the primary objection is
- 8 with these photos and videotape.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: Well, the videotape is not part
- 10 of Exhibit 8. I've seen no videotape other than what
- 11 was delivered to my office, which I did not look at,

12	which was part of the prehearing exchange.
13	But we have no
14	MR. MARTIN: I believe Mr. Lenz testified as
15	to the videotape, yesterday.

- 16 JUDGE MORAN: Are you telling me that I
- 17 missed that?
- 18 MR. MARTIN: No, the existence of a
- 19 videotape. We have another witness to introduce the
- 20 content of the videotape, although at this time we
- 21 move to put the videotape into the television set and
- 22 watch it.
- JUDGE MORAN: No. You need to have a proper
- foundation for the videotape to be put in.

- 1 Mr. Lenz did go into some extensive
 2 testimony about this as I recall and correct me if
 3 I'm wrong about this but the photographs which were
 4 part of Exhibit 8, except for the first group which
 5 began on CX 63.
 6 If I recall correctly the other group of
- 7 photographs which was part of 8 which begin on 146,
- 8 he went into some detail yesterday explaining how he
- 9 and Miss Kelly were there together. He remembered

- 10 the photographs, described in some detail.
- So I don't have a problem with those.
- But, Counsel, you'll have to help me about the
- others. I think we just -- didn't we skirt over --
- 14 the record will show what it was. But CX 63 through
- 70, that was not as I recall the focus of Mr. Lenz's
- 16 testimony.
- MR. NORTHRUP: Your Honor, I misspoke. I
- don't a problem with the photos that Mr. Lenz talked
- 19 about. It is these photos from pages 63 to 70 that
- 20 I'm raising the objection on.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And I recall,
- Mr. Martin, you were going to have another witness
- 23 explain and provide a foundation for these photos;
- isn't that right?

- 1 MR. MARTIN: That's correct.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. So I'm admitting
- 3 Exhibit 8 if there's any other objections I want to
- 4 hear about them except for CX 63 through CX 70 and
- 5 except also the speed memo pages that's a short way
- of describing it begins on CX 57 through CX 60.
- 7 And I'm going to keep them in my binder for now.

8	If those documents are not properly if
9	there's not a proper foundation, then you'll have to
10	remind me, Mr. Northrup, about that.
11	And at the end of the proceeding or at
12	the end of the Government's case, I'll have those
13	withdrawn from my notebook.
14	Okay, did you hear me? You did?
15	MR. NORTHRUP: No, I did not.
16	JUDGE MORAN: Well, I was saying there are
17	two groups within Exhibit 8 which are not going to be
18	admitted at this time.
19	MR. NORTHRUP: Correct.
20	JUDGE MORAN: They may be cured potentially
21	before EPA rests.
22	If they're not cured then at that time I
23	will move those two from my official exhibit book,
24	return them to Counsel, and that will be the end of
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

- 1 those out of Exhibit 8.
- 2 So that's my ruling.
- 3 Exhibit 8 is admitted except for those
- 4 things that I've just identified.
- 5 (WHEREUPON, a portion of

6	Complainant's Exhibit Number 8
7	was admitted into the record.)
8	MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, just to clarify that
9	the videotape was marked as Exhibit 8C and is part of
10	the Corps' referral for EPA. The videotape was part
11	of the initial Complaint.
12	JUDGE MORAN: Sure. But it isn't into
13	evidence.
14	MR. MARTIN: I was just clarifying that it
15	was part of the exhibit. I realize we need
16	to authenticate the exhibit.
17	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And I haven't been
18	provided have I been provided with a copy of the
19	videotape here in this proceeding?
20	MR. MARTIN: I have an extra copy.
21	JUDGE MORAN: Remember, I sent out a notice
22	about a week before, Hearing Procedure Reminders,
23	telling both sides that the fact that I may have
24	received a courtesy copy for purposes of ruling on
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

- 1 any Motions of prehearing exchange material, that
- 2 doesn't ergo make those part of the record at all.
- 3 And that's why I said in my brief notice

- 4 that the Parties have to provide exhibits for
- 5 admission at the hearing and that there isn't some
- 6 sort of method where they're in because they were
- 7 provided to me for the prehearing exchange process.
- 8 MR. MARTIN: We have a copy for the Court,
- 9 your Honor.
- JUDGE MORAN: All right. That's my ruling on
- 11 Exhibit 8.
- We still have Exhibit 14. Is that later,
- 13 Mr. Martin?
- MR. MARTIN: Yes.
- 15 JUDGE MORAN: Okay, fine.
- Do you need a break here to get
- 17 organized?
- 18 MR. MARTIN: Just, Judge, just checking my
- 19 notes.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay, then let's take a
- 21 five-minute break.
- 22 (Whereupon a short recess was
- taken.)
- JUDGE MORAN: Go ahead, Mr. Martin.

Q. Mr. Lenz, just a couple more questions: 3 Did your Corps District Office receive a permit application under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act 4 5 from the Heser brothers prior to the alleged filling 6 activities at the alleged violation site? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. Based on your experience in the 9 Regulatory Branch of your Corps Office processing 10 permits and your experience and observations of the site and filling activities, do you have an opinion 11 12 of whether such a permit would have been issued? 13 A. No, sir, it would not have. 14 So, you have an opinion? Q. 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Q. And what is that opinion? 17 A. In my opinion, a permit would not have 18 been issued for the work that was accomplished. 19 Q. Can you give us the reason for that? 20 That project would have had to have been 21 evaluated as an individual permit. They would have had to have showed a purpose and need for the 22 23 project. 24 We would have to evaluate in accordance

2

Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

- with 404(b)(1) guidelines, NCCO(sp) requirements.
- 2 They would had purpose need for the project. Is the
- 3 propose to increase crop production, is it to
- 4 alleviate flooding when it occurred, what is the
- 5 purpose of the project?
- 6 Since there were wetlands present at the
- 7 site as well which are considered a special aquatic
- 8 site, then the Corps has to make an automatic
- 9 presumption up front -- in the beginning of the
- 10 permit process, we have to make the assumption that
- 11 there are other less damaging alternatives than
- 12 conducting a project or filling in a wetland, that
- 13 there are alternatives.
- So they would have had to conduct
- 15 alternative analysis and basically rebutted that
- 16 assumption.
- 17 Also, that project would have gone out on
- 18 a public notice. It would have to everybody,
- 19 adjacent landowners, other agencies. We would have
- 20 gotten input from Illinois EPA, Department of Natural
- 21 Resources and those folks. We would have gotten info
- from the agencies on the project.
- 23 We also would have to look at -- in
- 24 general when permits of this type are submitted in an

```
1 application, during the permit review process, the
```

- 2 project is modified so that you don't end up with
- 3 just -- like the channel just on the property line as
- 4 it is now.
- 5 There is mitigation that happens as a
- 6 last step of the process. The sequence of steps we
- 7 would look at would be avoidance of impact this is
- 8 aquatic recourse avoidance of impacts, minimization
- 9 of impacts, and then the last resort would be
- 10 mitigation.
- 11 Q. You mentioned the fact that you feel that
- 12 an individual permit would have been required here.
- 13 Can you explain that?
- 14 A. It could have been required because if
- 15 the project out on-site is automatically an adverse
- 16 impact. It's above any threshold we have for any
- 17 general or nationwide permit.
- 18 So automatically it's an adverse impact.
- 19 It would have had to have been a process of
- 20 individual permit.
- 21 Q. Are you familiar with nationwide permit
- 22 number 26?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What does that -- what does that permit

137

1	contain?
2	A. We no longer have nationwide permit forms
3	at this time, but at the time of the violation
4	nationwide permit 26 was available as one of the
5	nationwide permits.
6	At that time nationwide permit 26 had
7	impact thresholds of one third of an acre wetland
8	impact required notification to the Corps.
9	And we could authorize up to three acres
10	of wetland impact under nationwide permit 26. The
11	upper limit on stream channel impacts was 500 feet.
12	So above those thresholds, a project
13	would be considered automatically an adverse impact.
14	It would require individual permit review.
15	Q. Did you testify that notice under
16	nationwide permit 26 is required for fills over
17	one-third of an acre?
18	A. Yes, sir, it requires notification to the
19	Corps so that we can review the project and determine
20	whether or not it is, in fact, a minimal impact or
21	not.

Just because nationwide permit 26 allows

- 23 up to three acres of impact doesn't mean that we
- 24 would authorize three acres under nationwide permit

- 1 26.
- 2 Q. How are the states a part of the
- 3 nationwide permit program?
- 4 A. The states are responsible for Section
- 5 401 is of the Clean Water Act which gives them the
- 6 opportunity to review these projects and certify --
- 7 do a water quality certification so that there's
- 8 no -- it's the state's opportunity to look for the
- 9 state's interest in terms of impacting water quality
- of the state's waters.
- 11 Q. Is state water quality certification
- 12 required for each individual permit under Section
- 13 404?
- 14 A. State water quality certification is
- 15 required for all permits, individual permits and
- 16 nationwide permits.
- 17 Q. How is state water quality certification
- 18 provided for nationwide permits?
- 19 A. For nationwide permits, how water quality
- 20 certification is done is when the nationwide permits

21	are issued, once every five years, the states have
22	the opportunity to refer all of the nationwide
23	permits.
24	And what they'll do is they will either
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	139
1	issue water quality certification, they'll issue it
2	with conditions, they can waive certification or they
3	can deny certification.
4	If they deny water quality certification,
5	it doesn't mean that a nationwide permit is not
6	available when they do that. It just means that
7	people that would receive a nationwide permit have to
8	go separately to the state and get an individual
9	water quality certification for that particular
10	nationwide permit.
11	Q. At the time of the alleged unauthorized
12	activities in this case, had the state of Illinois
13	provided water quality certification for nationwide
14	26?
15	A. No, sir.
16	Q. What was their action as to nationwide
17	26?
18	A. Pardon?

19	Q. Was it a denial or was it a?
20	A. The state denied water quality
21	certification for nationwide permit 26. In essence
22	that means that they wanted to see every one and look
23	at it before they issued water quality certification
24	and condition it however they saw fit.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	140
1	MR. MARTIN: I have no further questions,
2	your Honor.
3	JUDGE MORAN: Okay, are you ready, Counsel,
4	for Respondent to conduct cross-examination?
5	Let's go off the record.
6	(WHEREUPON, there was then had
7	an off-the-record discussion.)
8	JUDGE MORAN: Okay, Mr. Lenz, so you're going
9	to be excused. Don't talk with anyone about this
10	case except in cross-examination and you're not to be
11	discussing this with any of the other EPA witnesses
12	or Counsel.
13	(WHEREUPON, there was then had
14	an off-the-record discussion.)
15	JUDGE MORAN: Back on the record. And you
16	say Miss Court Reporter that you already have on the

17	record that the cross-examination of Mr. Lenz has
18	been deferred so that we can hear first from the
19	second EPA witness, which is Miss Joan Rogers, right?
20	And also in an off-the-record discussion,
21	Counsel for the Respondent requested that Mr. Lenz
22	not be in the court reporter since he has not been
23	subject to cross-examination.
24	So he has left the courtroom. And he'll
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	141
1	return when it's time for him to go in
2	cross-examination.
3	Now, I'll swear in our witness.
4	(Whereupon the Witness was sworn
5	by the Administrative Law
6	Judge.)
7	JUDGE MORAN: And you might have seen how
8	Mr. Lenz did it, just state your name and then spell
9	it for us.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. My name is Joan Rogers,
11	and my last name is spelled R-O-G-E-R-S.
12	JOAN ROGERS,
13	having been first duly sworn by the Administrative

14 Law Judge, witnesseth and saith as follows:

15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
17	Q. Good afternoon, Miss Rogers. I'm going
18	to start with some questions about your educational
19	background.
20	Do you hold any educational degrees?
21	A. Yes, I have a Bachelor's Degree that
22	majors in mathematics with a minor in chemistry from
23	Roosevelt University in Chicago. And I also have a
24	Bachelor's Degree in meteorology from Northern
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	142
1	Illinois University.
2	Q. Let's start with your first Bachelor's
3	Degree. When did you earn that degree?
4	A. 1997.
5	Q. And you said that it was in math and
6	chemistry, what kind of course work did you take?
7	A. The full series of mathematical classes
8	all the way through calculus, real analysis. I also
9	took a lot of statistical and probability classes
10	because I was in the actuarial program.
11	Q. And just briefly, actuarial programs?
12	A. Actuaries are the mathematicians for

- insurance companies, and at the time I was thinking
- of pursuing that as a career.
- 15 Q. And you mentioned about a Bachelor's
- Degree in Meteorology? Why did you get a bachelor's
- 17 degree?
- 18 A. At the time and still there is no local
- 19 university that offers Master's Degree in
- 20 Meteorology. The closest one would have been
- 21 Valparaiso University. It was too far to drive.
- Q. And when did you earn that second
- 23 bachelor's degree?
- 24 A. 2003.

- 1 Q. Okay. And what was does the study of
- 2 meteorology entail?
- 3 A. The study of the atmosphere and its
- 4 motion.
- 5 Q. And can did you conduct any research
- 6 while you were earning a Bachelor's Degree in
- 7 Meteorology?
- 8 A. Yes, I did. I did two semesters of micro
- 9 meteorology research that studies energy fluxes and
- 10 moisture fluxes at the ground level.

11	Q. And what is a flux?
12	A. A flux energy movement through a plane or
13	let's say through a surface.
14	Q. And were you employed in any
15	environmentally field while you were in school?
16	A. While I was in school, I earned a
17	certificate from the National Weather Service to take
18	surface weather observations. And I acquired a job
19	taking weather observations at both Midway and O'Hare
20	airports.
21	Q. And what does taking weather observations
22	entail?
23	A. At the majority of the airports, there
24	are humans that every hour we describe the
	Sullivan Reporting Company

- 1 atmospheric conditions, and put that into a report,
- 2 and also work closely with air traffic controllers
- 3 for severe weather that comes into the airport.
- 4 Q. And did you take any courses or training
- 5 since earning your Bachelor's Degree?
- A. Yes, I earned a certificate in Geographic
- 7 Information Systems, also known as GIS, and I am now
- 8 currently in the naturalist certificate program

- 9 that's also run in conjunction the University of
- 10 Chicago.
- 11 Q. And let's turn to your GIS certificate.
- 12 When did you earn that?
- 13 A. 2004.
- Q. And what do you have to do to earn a GIS
- 15 certificate?
- 16 A. You take course work in GIS in
- 17 understanding how a GIS works within the computer,
- 18 how relational databases work, how to manipulate
- 19 digital layers of spatial information. And we also
- 20 took course work in maps and map making and
- 21 understanding projections and how things are
- represented on maps.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell us a little more
- about what GIS is?

- 1 A. GIS in an information system that has a
- 2 geographic extent to it. So things are located at
- 3 someplace on our Earth, and GIS realized heavily on
- 4 computer software for its applications.
- 5 Q. And can you give us an everyday use for
- 6 GIS?

- 7 A. Map Quest is a GIS. Google, all those
- 8 things are GIS.
- 9 Q. And were you employed while you were
- 10 studying for the GIS certificate?
- 11 A. Just at the weather observations. And
- 12 immediately after I got the GIS certificate, I did
- 13 get employment in the GIS field.
- Q. Okay. And where was that?
- 15 A. It was at the Village of Lombard in
- 16 Illinois. I was an assistant to the GIS technician
- there, and I learned how GIS was used in the
- 18 community environment.
- 19 Q. And what specifically were your job
- 20 duties for the Village of Lombard?
- 21 A. Job duties were to help maintain the GIS
- 22 layers of their infrastructure in the Village,
- 23 sewers, to help transform existing CAD maps you
- 24 know, the old-time CAD maps to a GIS layer and then

- 1 we worked on a project to map out all the sanitary
- 2 sewers within the Village.
- 3 Q. And how did you go about mapping out the
- 4 sanitary sewers in the Village of Lombard?

5	Α.	We	used	aerial	photos,	and	we	went	around
---	----	----	------	--------	---------	-----	----	------	--------

- 6 to each sanitary sewer, opened them up, took down
- 7 attributes of the sanitary sewer, how many inlets,
- 8 what its condition was, et cetera, et cetera, and we
- 9 put those into a GIS layer.
- 10 Q. Now you mentioned GIS layer. What is a
- 11 GIS layer?
- 12 A. GIS layer would be like an individual map
- that shows one thing. So you have just the sanitary
- sewers plotted on it and it becomes available for
- 15 your computer usage.
- Q. And how did the Village of Lombard
- 17 realize GIS?
- 18 A. They have a GIS layer of every one of
- 19 their infrastructures. They have a storm sewer
- 20 layer, they have a sanitary sewer layer. They
- 21 actually have a layer that has all their trees that
- were planted in the Village with all of associated
- 23 attributes for each tree, when it was planted, what
- 24 type, when it was last pruned, et cetera, et cetera.

- 1 Q. And by layer, do you mean something
- 2 digital?

- A. It's a digital layer on a computer,
- 4 uh-huh.
- 5 Q. And do you go and click on that digital
- 6 layer?
- 7 A. You can click on any of the features in
- 8 that layer and you can bring up a table that brings
- 9 up all the attributes of that layer.
- 10 JUDGE MORAN: And please ask her, she
- mentioned CAD maps, what are they?
- 12 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- Q. And, Miss Rogers, can you explain what
- 14 the CAD mapping is?
- A. I'm not sure what the CAD word -- term
- 16 means. It's an old-time engineering computer
- software system that they used for mapping, but
- 18 things have progressed to GIS.
- 19 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- Q. So it's an older form?
- 21 A. It's an older form of it. It's not as
- 22 useful as a GIS.
- Q. And have you taken any training after
- your job at the Village of Lombard? And where, if

- 1 anywhere, did you work next?
- A. I became employed with the Federal EPA,
- 3 the U.S. EPA in Chicago.
- 4 Q. And how long have you worked with the
- 5 EPA?
- 6 A. Two and a half years.
- 7 Q. And when did you begin?
- 8 A. September of '04.
- 9 Q. And do you have specific title?
- 10 A. I'm Research Associate in the GIS
- 11 department.
- 12 Q. And what are some of your job duties as a
- 13 research associate?
- 14 A. I was hired, myself and another
- gentleman, we were hired to digitally produce a
- watershed map for the state of Wisconsin to create
- 17 and define the watershed boundaries in Wisconsin and
- 18 then produce this map.
- 19 Q. Okay, first of all, Miss Rogers, let's
- 20 talk about what a watershed is?
- 21 A. A watershed is an area whereby the water
- flows down hill into a water body or into a stream.
- Q. And can you explain how many watersheds
- 24 are there?

149

1 A. Oh, lots. I mean, it could be anywhere

- 2 from a large size anything that flows into the
- 3 Mississippi River down to a local contributory. They
- 4 range in size.
- 5 Q. And you mentioned a watershed mapping.
- 6 How much of your job duties entail watershed mapping?
- 7 A. 100 percent.
- 8 Q. And by watershed map, can you tell me how
- 9 generally that's done?
- 10 A. I use my GIS software, and I look at a
- 11 base layer, which is a topographic map. And I find
- 12 the divide between where the water flows one way or
- 13 the other.
- 14 And I put in -- I create a line on the
- screen and that goes into my layer of where the
- 16 watershed boundaries are.
- Q. And you mentioned a topographic map.
- What is a topographic map?
- 19 A. A topographic map is basically a relief
- 20 map. It's a map that shows elevation and many other
- 21 symbols that are useful. But it's basically a relief
- 22 map.
- Q. And you mentioned you use these maps
- 24 digitally?

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

- 1 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 2 Q. How are those used digitally?
- 3 A. They're brought up on my screen, on my
- 4 computer screen, and I'm able to create my layer of
- 5 the watershed boundaries.
- Q. And where do those maps come from?
- 7 A. The topographic maps?
- 8 Q. Correct.
- 9 A. They are produced by -- the original
- 10 topographic maps were produced by the U.S. GS I
- 11 believe back in the 70s.
- 12 Since then, the NRCS, the Natural
- 13 Resource Conservation Service, has taken those
- individual quad maps, quadrangle maps, and they
- mosaic them together by county. And those
- 16 topographic maps are free on the Internet form the
- 17 NRCS website
- Q. And you mentioned you use them digitally.
- Have you ever created a watershed boundary on paper?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is preferable? Digital or paper for
- 22 producing a watershed map?
- A. Digital would be more accurate because I

24 can zoom in as close as I need to be on the screen.

Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

- 1 Whereas a map, you know, you can hold it closer to
- 2 your face but you can't make the contours get any
- 3 wider.
- Q. And in your work with the U.S. EPA, how
- 5 many watershed maps have you created?
- 6 A. How many watershed boundaries or maps
- 7 themselves?
- Q. I'll verify the question. As I
- 9 understand it, you're putting boundaries on a
- 10 topographic map?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. How many watershed boundaries --
- 13 A. A couple thousand. I have not counted,
- but at least 1500, possibly up to 4,000.
- 15 Q. And were you asked to create a watershed
- 16 boundary in this case?
- 17 A. Yes, I was.
- 18 Q. And who asked you to create a watershed
- 19 boundary?
- A. Greg Carlson.
- Q. Let me turn your attention to

- Complainant's Exhibit 45, document Bates Number 1376.
- JUDGE MORAN: Will you state again the pages,
- the Bates pages, Counsel, on Complainant's

- 1 Exhibit 45?
- 2 MS. PELLEGRIN: Certainly. Bates Number
- 3 1376.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: I'm sorry, 1-3 what?
- 5 MS. PELLEGRIN: 1376.
- JUDGE MORAN: Through?
- 7 MS. PELLEGRIN: That's it.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Got it.
- 10 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- 11 Q. Miss Rogers, you mentioned that you
- 12 created a map for this case. Do you recognize there
- 13 document?
- 14 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is that document?
- 16 A. This document is a map of my digitized
- 17 line work representing Lake Centralia and all its
- 18 intermittent streams and the area below the dam at
- 19 Lake Centralia.

- Q. And is this the original or a copy?
- 21 A. This is a black and white copy.
- Q. Was your original in color?
- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Is this your handwriting on this

- 1 document?
- A. No, it isn't.
- 3 Q. No?
- 4 A. It's Greg Carlson's.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 MS. PELLEGRIN: For the court reporter's sake
- 7 we should talk separately --
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 9 MS. PELLEGRIN: -- (continuing) so that
- 10 she --
- 11 THE WITNESS: All right.
- MS. PELLEGRIN: I'll ask the question again.
- 13 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- Q. Do you know whose handwriting this is?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And whose handwriting is this?
- 17 A. Greg Carlson.

- MS. PELLEGRIN: Your Honor, permission to --
- do you know --
- 20 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- Q. First of all, Miss Rogers, do you know if
- there is a large blowup exhibit that entails this
- 23 document that we're looking at now?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 MS. PELLEGRIN: Your Honor, permission to
- 2 approach, Miss Rogers?
- JUDGE MORAN: Yes.
- 4 And, Miss Pellegrin, I'm hoping this is a
- 5 yes, but I see the demonstrative exhibit being set
- 6 up.
- 7 Do you intend to make that part of the
- 8 record?
- 9 MS. PELLEGRIN: Yes, your Honor. Absolutely.
- 10 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- 11 Q. And, Miss Rogers, I just put up a large
- 12 blowup exhibit which is labeled Exhibit A, Lake
- 13 Centralia and Intermittent Streams.
- 14 Do you recognize this large blowup
- 15 exhibit?

- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. And is this the same exact -- does this
- 18 look the same as the black and white smaller exhibit
- 19 that's Bates Number 1376?
- A. Yes, with some minor adjustments.
- 21 Q. Okay, and can you tell me what those are?
- 22 A. The scale bar is different. That happens
- when you use a different page setup on your computer.
- 24 And the north arrow has just been moved down to the

- bottom. A different north arrow, too.
- 2 Q. And is there any handwriting on this
- 3 large blowup --
- A. No handwriting, and also Martin Branch,
- 5 the word watershed was added after Martin Branch.
- 6 Q. Okay. The watershed boundaries which you
- 7 stated that you created for this document, are those
- 8 exactly the same as the black and white document
- 9 Bates Number 1376?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay, now let's see, Miss Rogers, if you
- 12 could get out of your seat --
- 13 MS. PELLEGRIN: Permission for the witness to

- 14 approach the exhibit.
- 15 JUDGE MORAN: Yes.
- 16 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- Q. And you mentioned earlier the definition
- of a topographic map?
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Can you explain using this document what
- 21 a topographic map is?
- 22 A. The base map behind my redline work is
- 23 the topographic map. It's zoomed in and printed up
- 24 here. It shows lines of constant elevation and

- 1 you're able to determine the elevations at any point.
- 2 It has other information like roads and
- 3 lakes on it, also.
- 4 Q. Okay. And by lines of constant
- 5 elevation, are those contour lines?
- A. They're called contour lines, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And that document has heavier
- 8 contour lines and lighter contour lines.
- 9 Can you explain why?
- 10 A. The heavier contour lines are called
- index contours. They're usually at the fifty or the

12	hundred	

- 13 That would be this line around, going up
- 14 at the top by the word thirty-three just above the
- word thirty-three, and over crossing Interstate
- 16 Highway 57.
- 17 Q. And now if you look in the lower
- 18 left-hand corner of the map in the area that's
- 19 denoted as number five?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. There's something called Fike's(sp) Hill.
- 22 Can you illustrate -- can you explain what the
- 23 concentric circles that are denoted by Fike's Hill
- 24 indicate?

- 1 A. When you have a closed contour that you
- 2 can see the whole thing on your map, that's a hill.
- 3 In the darker index contour at the base of the hill
- 4 is at 550 feet.
- 5 As you go into the center of the circle,
- 6 the contours are not bolded, they're 5 foot contours.
- 7 It's representing that you are going up by five feet
- 8 every time you cross on of those contours up to the
- 9 peak of the hill which is at 575 feet.

- 10 Q. And for the record, the smallest circle
- inside Fike's Hill, would that be the top of Fike's
- 12 hill?
- 13 A. That's the peak, yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And now what do the red lines on
- this document indicate?
- 16 A. The red lines are the boundaries between
- where water flows one way or the other.
- 18 Q. And who created those red lines?
- 19 A. I did.
- Q. And can you and maybe you want to move
- 21 a little bit to the left so the Judge can see can
- you explain how those red lines were created?
- 23 A. The red lines are created because I
- 24 started the out left of a stream or something, and I

- 1 try and get up hill as soon as possible.
- 2 I follow the contours always trying to
- 3 stay at the highest elevation so I can determine
- 4 where the water is flowing.
- 5 At the top of the map by the Number 33,
- 6 you'll see that I stay inside of 560 -- and I'm not
- 7 sure if they're five-foot contours. I think at some

- 8 point on this map they change to ten-foot contours.
- 9 So I stay within the top of the hill, and
- 10 I try and stay above that elevation without dipping
- down into the valleys. As you learn to read these
- 12 Topo maps, you can determine where there are valleys,
- 13 these little indentations on the contour represent a
- valley that water is flowing away from the top of the
- 15 hill.
- 16 Q. Okay. And, generally, why are you trying
- to stay on the top of the ridges when you're creating
- 18 a watershed map?
- 19 A. Because the water flows down hill, so I
- 20 want to find the representation where the divide is
- 21 between water flowing from one -- into one watershed
- 22 from other.
- Q. So, is it your testimony that the -- the
- larger red lines surrounding the entire map, water

- 1 would flow into the middle and not outside of those
- 2 lines --
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. -- (continuing) is that correct?
- 5 A. Correct.

6	Q. Now I see there are a number of numbers,
7	one through five, and then Martin Branch Watershed.
8	What do those denote?
9	A. The numbers one through four nope,
10	sorry. The Number three is actually Lake Centralia,
11	the watershed just of Lake Centralia.
12	The other numbered watershed and the
13	Martin Branch watershed represent the area of the
14	intermittent streams that flow into Lake Centralia.
15	Q. And how do those separate smaller
16	watersheds fit within those larger watersheds?
17	A. They're nested inside. As they approach
18	the boundaries of the whole larger polygon larger
19	watershed, they would encompass that boundary also.
20	Q. Okay. And let's look on the map where
21	if you can see where Number one is on the map
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q (continuing) the area encompassing
24	Number one?
	Sullivan Reporting Company

Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

160

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. The line that divides that area in the

3 Martin Branch Watershed, can you explain how you

- 4 decided to put that line -- where that particular
- 5 line would go?
- A. There's a 550-foot hill here with a
- 7 couple smaller 550-foot peaks. I tried to maintain
- 8 equidistant between the edges of that 550 contour,
- 9 and jumped -- got up onto the 560 foot peak when I
- 10 approached them and connected up to the other line
- 11 that's there.
- 12 Q. Okay. And let's take say a drop of rain
- falls and hits just on the side of that redline that
- 14 encompasses that Number one, where does that water
- 15 go?
- 16 A. The water would flow into the number one
- intermittent stream.
- 18 Q. And say the hypothetical drop of water
- 19 drops on the other side of the that line dividing
- 20 number one and Martin Branch Watershed, where does
- 21 that water go?
- 22 A. It would flow into the Martin Branch
- eventually.
- Q. Okay. And now let me ask you, there's

- 2 two heavy double lines running north-south through
- 3 the --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What do those double lines indicate?
- A. Interstate 57.
- 7 Q. And to the right of that, there's another
- 8 large -- on the end of the Martin Branch Watershed,
- 9 there's another line large line running north and
- 10 south. What is that line?
- 11 A. It's highway 37.
- 12 Q. Okay. And when you are drawing your
- 13 watershed boundaries, do you take into account
- interstates and highways?
- 15 A. No. We try and maintain natural features
- 16 as best as possible. And a very swampy or flat area,
- 17 the interstate may actually be the divide.
- 18 But in an area like this, with all this
- 19 relief and elevations, I would assume it was
- 20 culverted.
- 21 Q. Okay. And when you were drawing that
- 22 map, you were assuming that it was culverted?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And have you confirmed your assumption

- 1 since in the area called --
- 2 A. Yes, I have.
- 3 Q. And who have you confirmed and what did
- 4 you confirm?
- 5 A. I asked Greg Carlson because I know he'd
- 6 been out to the site and looked at all that. And he
- 7 confirmed that there were culverts going under both
- 8 of those roads.
- 9 Q. Okay. Looking at the legend on the lower
- 10 left-hand corner of that page, what does that mean?
- 11 A. It indicates the different intermittent
- 12 streams and Lake Centralia and the acreage of each.
- Q. Who calculated the acreage?
- 14 A. I did.
- Q. And how often do you calculate acreage
- when you draw a watershed map?
- 17 A. Every single one.
- 18 Q. Okay. And how do you calculate acreage?
- 19 A. The computer software as you create a
- 20 polygon, a closed line work polygon, it calculates
- 21 it. It calculates it in square miles. And then I
- just applied a conversion factor to get to acres.
- 23 Q. And can you, with your finger, sort of
- 24 run through the Martin Branch Watershed and describe

- 1 to us how that particular watershed was created?
- A. How I created it on the computer?
- 3 Q. Trace with your finger just so we --
- A. I started at the outlet where it enters
- 5 Lake Centralia. I tried to maintain the highest
- 6 elevation in the 550 and higher range, trying not to
- 7 cross any valleys because they flow one way or the
- 8 other.
- 9 So I tried to maintain that the valley
- 10 flows directly into the Martin Branch. And just
- 11 closed my polygon.
- 12 Q. And you said you do these digitally. I
- 13 think you may have testified about this, but do you
- sometimes do them on paper?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And, Miss Rogers, have you been
- asked before today to calculate the acreage on the
- smaller subsection of the Martin Branch Watershed?
- 19 A. Yes, upstream of generally this point
- 20 right here (indicating).
- 21 Q. Okay. Have you been asked to calculate
- 22 the area of the -- have you been asked to draw a
- 23 watershed boundary for the area including upstream of
- the alleged violation site?

164

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q	And have you done that already?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q. (Okay. And could you do that on this map
5	right now?	
6	Α.	Sure.
7	Q. (Okay. Let me give you a
8	JUDG	E MORAN: They're all up here.
9	MS.	PELLEGRIN: Okay.
LO	BY MS. PELLE	GRIN:
L1	Q.	You can select a color and go ahead and
L2	do that for	us.
L3	Α.	I selected purple. And I would pretend
L 4	that that's	the outlet, and I would start from there,
L5	and generall	y draw a line that would go up and meet
L 6	the watershed	d boundaries that exist. And then do the
L7	same thing for	or the southern end of it.
L8	Q. 2	And can you describe for the record what
L9	you've just	done to Exhibit A?
20	A	I've drawn a line that separates out the

upstream area from an area along Martin Branch that

connects to the other watershed boundary.

21

- 23 Q. Okay.
- JUDGE MORAN: And just -- if you would, in

- 1 case there are other lines -- my suggestion would be
- 2 it's your call.
- 3 Or if there's going to be other markings,
- 4 just an arrow so that -- I'll remember when I'm
- 5 looking at this, but an arrow and then a designation
- 6 such as one, two -- you have one and two on there,
- 7 but a letter.
- 8 Whatever you want to use, just bearing in
- 9 mind which I don't know whether this witness will be
- 10 marking other things on this demonstrative exhibit.
- 11 MS. PELLEGRIN: I believe that's all she's
- going to be marking. There may be other witnesses.
- So I'm more than happy to have her --
- 14 JUDGE MORAN: Her initials.
- MS. PELLEGRIN: I'm sorry?
- JUDGE MORAN: Her initials on there.
- 17 MS. PELLEGRIN: Right.
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 19 So you're going to mark -- draw an arrow
- 20 from that line you just marked and indicate that --

Okay, and that line you just drew which 21 22 is on the outside, that's not a continuation of the 2.3 line --24 THE WITNESS: No. Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705 166 1 JUDGE MORAN: -- (continuing) with an arrow 2 pointing to the line that's in purple? 3 THE WITNESS: Correct. 4 JUDGE MORAN: Okay, thanks. 5 BY MS. PELLEGRIN: 6 Q. Miss Rogers, before today, have you been 7 asked to calculate the acreage of the smaller subsection of the Martin Branch Watershed which 9 you've just recreated for us on this Exhibit A? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And how you calculated that acreage? A. Yes. 12 13 Q. And what is that acreage? 14 A. It was 446 acres. MS. PELLEGRIN: Your Honor -- Miss Rogers, 15 16 you may be seated. 17 Your Honor, at this time I'd like to move to admit Complainant's Exhibit -- we'll call it 18

19	Exhibit A for the record, which is this large blowup
20	which Miss Rogers has just marked on.
21	JUDGE MORAN: And you're sure remember we
22	had a problem with the previously named B
23	MS. PELLEGRIN: This is the A. That's why we
24	started on B and C.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	167
1	JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
2	Counsel?
3	MR. NORTHRUP: We would object just to the
4	extent of can we get a little more information on
5	the underlying Topo map? What year? Things like
6	that.
7	JUDGE MORAN: All right. Okay, do you want
8	to ask those why don't you ask those questions
9	now, and then I'll make a ruling.
10	Go ahead.
11	VOIR DIRE
12	BY MR. NORTHRUP:
13	Q. Well, what year is the underlying Topo
14	map?
15	A. Most of the topographic maps were created
16	by the USGS in the 1970s. So this Topo map is just a

17	mosaic together of those original ones.
18	The NRCS mosaic ed together the original
19	quad maps. They've never been changed, they've never
20	been redone.
21	They mosaic ed them together and they've
22	made a digital copy. They were scanned into the
23	computer and they were made available free on the
24	Internet.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	168
1	Q. Okay, so it's a mosaic. That's not
2	something you did? That's something that
3	A. No, it's something the NRCS does. The
4	original topographic maps have a border around it,
5	they have a lot of information in that border.
6	Those borders were stripped off so that
7	you can actually merge together the line work.
8	But these are the original USGS
9	topographic maps from the 1970s.

- Q. And the green areas, again, those appear on your exhibit as they appear on these Topo maps?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And what does the green signify?
- A. Green usually signifies a forested area.

15	Q. Have you gone out to confirm whether any
16	of those green areas exist?
17	A. No, I haven't.
18	Q. Have you done any sort of field work to
19	determine whether the
20	JUDGE MORAN: Counsel, not to interrupt you,
21	but those questions really go toward
22	cross-examination, not to the foundational question
23	whether this exhibit you've moved into straight
24	cross-examination.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	169

- 1 So do you have any questions that are not 2 in that category in terms of voir dire?
- 3 By MR. NORTHRUP:
- 4 Q. Do you know how these 1970's Topo maps
- 5 were originally created?
- A. They're created through a U.S. geological 6
- 7 survey through a -- that was their main job at the
- 8 time to produce topographic maps for the United
- 9 States.
- They are the only set of topographic maps 10
- that they have produced for the United States. 11
- 12 Q. And were these done by people going out

13	and actually verifying
14	A. Yes.
15	Q (continuing) information as far as you
16	know?
17	A. Yes, they were. They used elevation data
18	for the elevation on there.
19	Yes, they were.
20	MR. NORTHRUP: My objection
21	JUDGE MORAN: You objected a voir dire and so
22	I've heard that. And there are no other objections
23	other than you wanted to ask some additional
24	questions about the basis of the map.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	170
1	Any other questions about that?
2	(No audible response.)
3	JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
4	Ready, Counsel?
5	MS. PELLEGRIN: Yes, your Honor.
6	JUDGE MORAN: All right, EPA's Demonstrative
7	Exhibit A is admitted.
8	(Whereupon Complainant's

Number A was admitted

L1	into the record.)						
L2	BY MS. PELLEGRIN:						
L3	Q. And, Miss Rogers, I'm kind of backing up						
L 4	a little bit, but what kind of training have you had						
L5	on creating watershed boundaries?						
L 6	A. The USGS National coordinators on a						
L7	project called the Watershed Boundary Delineation						
L8	Project came to Chicago and personally trained myself						
L 9	and my partner. We had a week-long, intensive						
20	training on how to determine where the watershed						
21	boundary is.						
22	Q. And did you have any off-site training?						
23	A. Sorry?						
24	Q. Did you have any off-site training in						
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705						

- using watershed boundaries?
- 2 A. We have gone and field checked some of
- 3 the areas that we have done in the past.
- Q. And your job at the EPA as an EPA
- 5 Research Associate, does that entail any supervisory
- 6 roles?
- 7 A. I currently review three other people's
- 8 work, yes.

- 9 Q. And what positions are those other
- 10 people?
- 11 A. They hold the same title as I do, but
- 12 their watershed delineations are not as accurate as
- 13 they could be sometimes.
- Q. Okay. And I don't want to embarrass you,
- 15 Miss Rogers, but I understand you have been given
- sort of a designation, an informal designation by the
- 17 USGS.
- 18 Can you state for the record what that
- 19 is?
- 20 A. National Coordinators on this project
- 21 have said I'm the second best in the nation in
- delineating watersheds, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- MS. PELLEGRIN: Your Honor, I don't have any

- 1 more questions for her on this map. But I don't
- want to -- there's a Respondent's exhibit I think
- 3 that Miss Rogers could shed a little bit of light on
- 4 with her experience as a weather person at O'Hare
- 5 Airport.
- 6 So I would like for her to talk a little

- 7 bit about the rain data from wonder(sp) ground that
- 8 Respondent has offered in a prehearing exchange
- 9 attachment to Mr. --
- 10 JUDGE MORAN: This is all brought about
- 11 because this witness will hopefully head back home,
- 12 and Mr. Northrup is nodding, do you have a copy of
- 13 that EPA -- as well?
- MR. NORTHRUP: Yes.
- 15 JUDGE MORAN: All right, so then proceed with
- 16 that.
- MS. PELLEGRIN: And just for the record,
- 18 we're not going to object to the introduction of the
- 19 wonder ground data into the record.
- It's not that we want to impeach the data
- in any way. We just want Miss Rogers to shed some
- 22 light on some of the items.
- 23 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. Before you ask her that,
- 24 I just ask to ask, Miss Rogers:

- 1 You said you've gone out on occasion and
- 2 done field checks, right?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: And the purpose of that field

- 5 check, I take it, was to see if in fact what you did
- 6 back in the office was correct when you were actually
- 7 outside?
- A. Correct, to determine if water was
- 9 flowing one way or the other.
- 10 Q. Right. But you didn't tell us what
- 11 happened.
- 12 Did you find out whether you made
- mistakes or were you right on, as they say?
- 14 A. I would say 70 percent of the time we
- were right. 30 percent of the time, there may have
- 16 been some altercations.
- 17 And 20 percent of the time there might
- have been some alterations that allowed the water to
- 19 go a different way. And the other 10 percent we
- 20 weren't conclusive even in field checking.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- MS. PELLEGRIN: And looking at Respondent's
- 23 binder -- I don't know --
- JUDGE MORAN: We'll go off the record while

- 1 you work that out.
- 2 (WHEREUPON, there was then had

3 an off-the-record discussion.) MS. PELLEGRIN: In an off-the-record 4 discussion me, Miss Pellegrin, myself and 5 6 Mr. Northrup have agreed to stipulate to the 7 authenticity of the wonder ground data which is an 8 Attachment C to Respondent's Exhibit 19 which is a 9 February 15, 2007 report from Raps(sp) Engineering. 10 We're stipulating only to the daily 11 precipitation data which is Attachment C and not the remainder of the document. 12 13 MR. NORTHRUP: That is correct. 14 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. Is that the extent of the stipulation? 15 16 MS. PELLEGRIN: Yes, your Honor. JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And Mr. Northrup has 17 18 agreed to it. 19 So does that mean you do or do not have 20 any other questions to ask Miss Rogers about that? 21 MS. PELLEGRIN: I have no further questions 22 for Miss Rogers. 2.3 JUDGE MORAN: Period. 24 MS. PELLEGRIN: Period.

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 782-4705

1	JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
2	Are you ready for Cross or do you need a
3	minute, Mr. Northrup?
4	MR. SMALL: Miss Rogers, if I ask you any
5	questions that you don't understand, just tell me so
6	and I'll try and rephrase it for you.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	MR. SMALL: I just have a few points of
9	clarification.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SMALL:
12	Q. You indicated that when you were drawing
13	these maps where you show which direction the water
14	flows, that you don't take into account any roadways;
15	is that correct?
16	A. Our project is to determine naturally
17	where water flows. Most roadways are culverted
18	except for perhaps in very swampy or low lying areas.
19	Q. In particular referring to your exhibit
20	in front of us here, which is a blowup of Exhibit 45?
21	JUDGE MORAN: 1376?
22	MR. SMALL: Yes, 1376 being the base
23	document.
24	BY MR. SMALL:

1 Q. Does that indicate that Interstate 64 and

- 2 Illinois State Route 37 run through the Martin Branch
- 3 watershed?
- 4 A. No, it does not. It shows that
- 5 Interstate 57.
- Q. I'm sorry, I misspoke.
- 7 A. Uh-huh. Yes, it does show that.
- Q. Those two roads, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you have not personally gone out and
- 11 checked the culverts on either of those roads within
- that watershed, have you?
- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Now I've got another question for you:
- When you drew your line on the map
- indicating which direction or limiting the direction
- of where the water would flow from a certain point on
- 18 the Heser property, what do you do when you have an
- 19 area that's flat?
- 20 How do you determine where those lines
- 21 are to go?
- 22 A. Truly flat is a very difficult
- 23 delineation. A lot of times we just determine not to
- 24 put a line there.

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

- 1 It's very rare to find that, and in the
- 2 states I worked on I have found very few areas like
- 3 that.
- 4 Q. Did you personally go out and review any
- 5 of your -- in the field in the area designated as
- 6 Martin Branch Watershed?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. All of this is done off 1970s maps that
- 9 were later placed in quadrangle maps and then
- 10 overlay; is that how you do it?
- 11 A. They were originally quadrangle maps and
- 12 they were later mosaic ed together. Quadrangle maps
- are cumbersome to work with.
- 14 But you pretty much can assume that hills
- are not going to go anywhere, mountains aren't going
- 16 to move that far. It's very stable
- Q. Well, let me ask you then, I think you
- 18 indicated that 70 percent of the time you were
- 19 accurate?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And 20 percent of the time you weren't
- 22 accurate?
- A. Uh-huh.

1	1110	т	~11~~~
_	up,		quess?

- 2 A. Or the time of year that we went to visit
- 3 wasn't ideal.
- 4 Q. If in fact these underlying maps from the
- 5 1970s that you used produced potential errors of up
- to 30 percent, how do you account for that?
- 7 A. The areas that we're field checking are
- 8 very minor. We're talking very small streams or very
- 9 small -- a lot of times we're checking for culverts.
- 10 Those are very easy to see.
- 11 So those would be the 70 percent. The
- 12 culverts or a stream that's been diverted would be
- 13 something in the 20 percent range.
- 14 Those things -- yes, it does produce
- inaccuracies. But with the 1970 topographic maps
- 16 being the best layer that we have, that's the best
- that they're expecting at this time for our project.
- 18 Q. So if I understand you correctly, if a
- culvert had been changed on either the Interstate 57
- or the State Route 37, since the 1970s, that could
- 21 affect what your determinations would be for those

- 22 boundary lines?
- 23 A. Correct. When we do our project, we're
- 24 not doing it in a vacuum either. We're working

- 1 closely with state agencies such as the Department of
- 2 Natural Resources and USGS people. They have
- 3 intricate knowledge of many of this stuff, and they
- 4 can attest to any changes that need to be included in
- 5 our data. And then we would of course include it.
- 6 Q. Now referring again to Exhibit 45, base
- 7 document 1376, this is just a calculation of where
- 8 you think the water tends to flow, correct?
- 9 A. In my opinion, yes.
- 10 Q. But it doesn't take into account any
- 11 velocities of water?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Or any other --
- 14 A. That's not the intent of my line work at
- 15 all.
- Q. So the sole purpose of this is just to
- see which way the water flows?
- A. Exactly.
- 19 Q. Okay. And the fact that you have Martin

- 20 Branch at least on this exhibit I know you
- 21 indicated it wasn't potentially drawn to scale here -
- 22 but it appears as if the Martin Branch Watershed is
- 23 much, a much larger area.
- 24 The fact that it's much larger doesn't

- 1 necessarily mean anything as far as the total amount
- 2 of rainfall that that area would receive in
- 3 relationship to the other areas shown on that map; is
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. No. This is drawn to scale. These maps
- 6 are scaled. It is accurate that Martin Branch is the
- 7 largest stream to Lake Centralia. It will receive
- 8 more rainfall than any of the other ones.
- 9 Q. But you're making the assumption there
- 10 that the rainfall would be even across the whole
- 11 area; is that right?
- 12 A. That's true, yes.
- Q. And so it's possible that even though
- it's -- let's say area Number three and I don't
- 15 know which lake -- that's Lake Centralia, might
- 16 actually receive more rainfall than Martin Branch
- which appears to be larger in size on any given day?

18	A.	On	any	one	rainfall	event,	yes,	that's
----	----	----	-----	-----	----------	--------	------	--------

- 19 true. But the law of large numbers would say that in
- 20 a long term they would receive the same amount.
- 21 MR. SMALL: That's all we have of this
- 22 witness.
- MS. PELLEGRIN: A few Redirect questions,
- your Honor.

- 1 JUDGE MORAN: Yes, and then I'll have a few
- 2 questions.
- 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. PELLEGRIN:
- 5 Q. Miss Rogers, Mr. Small asked you a
- 6 question about is this a problem if the area is too
- 7 flat. Did you have any concerns with that area being
- 8 too flat?
- 9 A. None at all. No, this is not flat at
- 10 all.
- 11 Q. And so you didn't have any problems
- drawing your line or not knowing where to draw your
- 13 line?
- 14 A. It took me all of ten minutes to do this.
- 15 It was very easy.

16	Q. Let me go back to Mr. Small asked you
17	some questions about the inaccuracies, the 20 percent
18	that you found when you field checked were
19	inaccurate.
20	What was the scale of those inaccuracies?
21	How much did that change your line work?
22	A. Very little. They were small, maybe
23	small little intermittent streams, maybe even smaller
24	than Martin Branch that I either couldn't determine
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

1	from the topographic maps which way it flowed or
2	And we really wouldn't go out and field
3	check unless we heard from somebody else that they
4	thought that something had been changed.
5	So it was basically a lack of information
6	that my data was wrong. So with the additional date
7	that we got by field checking, we were able to make
8	it more accurate.
9	Q. And Mr. Small asked you a question about
10	Highway 37, if there was a change in culvert in
11	Highway 37.
12	If a culvert was moved or a culvert was

enlarged on Highway 37, how would that affect your

			_				
14	drawing	r of	+ha+	particular	watarchad	houndard	72
 _	arawriiq		LIIAL	partituatai	watershed	Doundary	/ ÷

- 15 A. I believe the only way it would change is
- 16 if it was removed.
- 17 Q. If the culvert was removed?
- 18 A. And even then the water would have to
- 19 flow up 30 feet to end up in a different watershed.
- 20 And it would probably flow over the road before it
- 21 did that.
- Q. Okay. So you're saying that the water
- level would have to rise 30 feet in an area outside
- of that watershed?

- 1 A. Yes. Where Highway 37 crosses Martin
- 2 Branch, that's below 580 feet. The boundary is at
- 3 600 feet. So the water would have to flow 20 to
- 4 25 feet up -- it would have to raise 20 25 feet up
- 5 to get over that hill.
- 6 MS. PELLEGRIN: Your Honor, I have no further
- 7 questions for Miss Rogers at this time.
- 8 JUDGE MORAN: Now, Miss Rogers, and I'll give
- 9 you another opportunity for recross if you have any,
- 10 all right?
- 11 You referenced to some questions posed by

- Mr. Small, Counsel for Respondents, he made a mention
- and you allowed it as well about the age of this
- 14 map.
- And what was the year that this was
- 16 created?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't believe we know the
- 18 exact year that this one quadrangle map in this
- 19 section. And this is actually two quadrangle maps
- 20 mosaic ed together. But it was in the '70s the USGS
- 21 was asked to make these.
- JUDGE MORAN: But then if I understood your
- 23 testimony correctly, you talked about how there are
- 24 people that you can consult with to determine if

- there's more intimate data?
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And did you, in fact,
- 4 consult about this and seek out this intimate data?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. I asked Greg Carlson if
- 6 the roads had been culverted. And that was it.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: So that was the extent of the
- 8 inquiry of the intimate data? You asked only if it
- 9 was culverted?

10	THE WITNESS: Yes, because that would be the
11	only thing that would affect my decision on where to
12	put the line.
13	JUDGE MORAN: And that information then, did
14	or did not it impact the line?
15	THE WITNESS: It did not impact the line. I
16	assumed that the road was culverted and it was.
17	JUDGE MORAN: All right. Now, are you
18	familiar with the property that's at issue in this
19	legal proceeding?
20	THE WITNESS: Only just from this week.
21	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. Even though it's recent,
22	are you able to identify on Exhibit A where that
23	property is located?
24	THE WITNESS: I assume that it's near that
	Sullivan Reporting Company

- 1 line that I added to the map today because that would
- 2 basically affect the outcome of the trial.
- JUDGE MORAN: But you don't know? In other
- 4 words, you drew a line, that purple line or is it
- 5 an arrow so you don't know looking at this map
- 6 right now, to your right, you don't know exactly
- 7 where the property is located?

8	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
9	JUDGE MORAN: Now a last question for you:
LO	Am I correct in listening to your
L1	testimony in concluding that irrespective of that
L2	purple line the one mark that you made on this
L3	exhibit, the whole of the area that is designated the
L 4	Martin Branch Watershed and it's not a circle, it's a
L5	closed loop, right?
L 6	THE WITNESS: A polygon, we call it.
L7	JUDGE MORAN: Am I correct that any water
L8	within that Martin Branch Watershed again, we're
L 9	including also not just where you limited it by the
20	purple line, the whole thing.
21	Am I correct that all of the water,
22	assuming sufficient rainfall, would end up at Lake
23	Centralia?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, it would end up at the one
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

- 1 point, poor point we call it, at the one point that
- 2 ends in Lake Centralia.
- JUDGE MORAN: Poor point?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Poor point.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: Poor point, and do you know

6	what	а	poor	point	is?

- 7 THE WITNESS: The poor point is at Lake
- 8 Centralia.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: I thought you were talking
- 10 about a specific location on Lake Centralia.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Right at the southern end of
- 12 Lake Centralia.
- JUDGE MORAN: And this is just a curiosity,
- 14 but as I'm looking at this map, I found Lake
- 15 Centralia seems to be listed twice: once in light
- blue and once in dark blue; is that right?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. That's probably
- where two quadrangle maps were merged together.
- 19 JUDGE MORAN: But it's all still the same
- 20 contiguous --
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
- JUDGE MORAN: Map.
- Okay, does Counsel for Respondent have
- 24 any questions to ask?

- 1 MR. SMALL: Just a few minor follow-up
- 2 questions, your Honor.
- 3 RECROSS EXAMINATION

4	BY	MR.	SMALL:
---	----	-----	--------

- 5 Q. You indicated that you talked to Greg
- 6 Carlson about culverts --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- (continuing) where culverts were
- 9 located?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. Did you specifically asked him if any
- culverts were changed from the 1970s to present?
- 13 A. No, I didn't really care what happened in
- 14 the 1970s. I wanted to know that my watershed
- 15 boundary delineations were current today, so I asked
- 16 him if there were culverts present there now.
- Q. But your maps are based on the 1970s?
- 18 A. My maps are based on the hills that were
- 19 present in the 1970s and are still there.
- Q. Now, another statement you made was:
- 21 All of the water of Martin's Branch would
- 22 end up in Lake Centralia.
- 23 But as a matter of fact there are other
- things that could take place, right? Seepage up

- 2 A. My project does not include water that
- 3 flows vertically into the ground and becomes part of
- 4 the groundwater sources. This is a surface water
- 5 map.
- 6 Q. And did you take into account evaporation
- 7 of water?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you take into account any kind of
- 10 ponding?
- 11 A. No. But if a pond were to overfill, it
- 12 would fill in the direction that my map -- my lines
- 13 would take it.
- MR. SMALL: Nothing further, your Honor, not
- 15 at this time.
- JUDGE MORAN: Counsel for EPA?
- MS. PELLEGRIN: No further questions, your
- 18 Honor.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay, then I just want to make
- 20 sure that everyone understanding Miss Rogers will not
- 21 be available for recall. She's departing.
- Okay, we thank you for your testimony.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 24 (WHEREUPON, the Witness was

1	excused.)
2	JUDGE MORAN: Okay. Let's take a five
3	minute, a quick break, and we'll go off the record.
4	(Whereupon a short recess was
5	taken.)
6	JUDGE MORAN: Mr. Lenz, will you come back up
7	here please, you're subject to cross-examination
8	MR. NORTHRUP: Your Honor, We would like I
9	don't see Mr. Carlson here right now, but we would
LO	like to exclude him from cross-examination.
L1	JUDGE MORAN: Yes, absolutely.
L2	MR. MARTIN: Mr. Carlson is offered as an
L3	expert witness, not a fact witness.
L 4	JUDGE MORAN: What do you have to say to
L5	that, Mr. Northrup?
L6	MR. NORTHRUP: Well, I'm not sure that has
L7	anything to do with whether he should be here or not.
L8	JUDGE MORAN: What is your concern if he
L9	hears the cross-examination? He heard the direct?
20	MS. PELLEGRIN: Yes.
21	MR. NORTHRUP: He did.
22	MS. PELLEGRIN: I don't think there's been
23	any sequestration order.
24	MR. NORTHRUP: Well, our concern is he may
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705

1 pick up on something, not that he would, but he may

2	alter or modify his testimony.
3	MS. PELLEGRIN: Your Honor if I can respond?
4	JUDGE MORAN: Go ahead.
5	MS. PELLEGRIN: The way we've done it in my
6	experience, we do it at the beginning. And then we
7	have Respondent you know, have people out, our
8	people out.
9	It's not something to do in the middle of
10	the hearing. And I understand sequestration, expert
11	witnesses are allowed to listen to the fact testimony
12	in fact the examination of other witnesses so that
13	they may use them in forming their own expert
14	opinions.
15	JUDGE MORAN: I agree, okay. That's my
16	ruling for now. Mr. Carlson may stay in the
17	proceedings.
18	Okay, ready for cross-examination?
19	MR. NORTHRUP: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	WARD LENZ,
2	having previously been duly sworn by the
3	Administrative Law Judge, witnesseth and saith as
4	follows:
5	CROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. NORTHRUP:
7	Q. And I will an apologize for bouncing
8	around a little bit, Mr. Lenz, on some of these
9	questions.
LO	Do you know who Mr. Bill Heser is?
L1	A. Yes, sir. He's the person that we
L2	received a complaint from.
L3	Q. Do you know what his relationship is to
L 4	Andy and Bobby Heser, the family relationship?
L5	A. I have been told, but I don't recollect.
L 6	Q. You know he's not their father, correct?
L7	A. Yes, sir.
L 8	Q. Do you know anything about the
L 9	relationship between Mr. Bill Heser and Andy and
20	Bobby Heser?
21	A. No, sir.
22	Q. Have you ever talked to Mr. Bill Heser?

- 23 A. No, sir, I don't believe I have. I just
- 24 received the referral.

- 1 Q. Now you indicated you worked for the
- 2 Clinton County Soil Survey; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And you would go out and actually perform
- 5 the surveys, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And how many samples would you take per
- 8 acre to come up with your calculations?
- 9 A. I don't know. It wasn't based on acres.
- 10 It was based on landscape position.
- 11 Q. If you were walking across a farm field,
- 12 would you generally take a sample an acre or sample
- 13 every ten acres?
- 14 A. For example, I would generally map
- approximately -- let's say 320 acres a day. And I
- may take, depending on landscape positions, maybe 20
- to 40 samples within that period.
- 18 Q. And when you performed those
- 19 calculations, were those in field examinations of the
- 20 soil and then you would write the results down or you

- would have to send them out for analysis? 21 22 A. That was all done in the field. 23 Q. Like the analysis you did that's 24 reflected in your data forms that you did on the Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705 193 Heser site? 1 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Now, do you know how the Marion County soil survey employees conducted their survey? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Okay, and how's that? 7 A. They did it similar to us. All of the surveys were done in the same fashion and we 8 9 coordinated with other counties and we did the soil 10 surveys. Did you know any of the individuals who 11 were taking Marion County soil surveys? 12 13 A. Yes, sir.
 - 16 A. Yes, sir.

same way you did?

14

15

- Q. And how is that?
- 18 A. Because we met with them. For ongoing

Do you know for a fact they did it the

- 19 soil surveys, we commonly meet them and discuss
- 20 matters with them since they were in the adjacent
- 21 county.
- Q. But you never observed them doing their
- 23 work?
- A. Yes, sir. We met them in the field, in

- 1 Marion County, and I did work with them at least one
- 2 time.
- Q. One time over a how many year period?
- 4 A. Other a three-year period.
- 5 Q. You indicated that the Heser site area
- 6 had a high water table; do you remember that?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And that was more apparent in the months
- 9 November through May; is that accurate?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now the first time that you were
- 12 at the site was in February 2000?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. So that's a period during this high water

- 17 table period, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. When you were -- I want to reference a
- document here.
- 21 I'd like you to take a look at your field
- 22 notes from your February 15th site visit, which is
- 23 Bates Numberer CX 112.
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. Before I forget, you've got a little hand
- drawing diagram down in the bottom right-hand corner;
- 3 is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Is that a diagram that you did?
- 6 A. I believe so, but I'm not sure that I
- 7 could explain it.
- Q. That's my next question. What is it?
- 9 What does it depict or is it just a doodle?
- 10 A. I'm not sure. It looks like I started
- 11 doing a cross section on one part of it and it looks
- 12 like I was doing something with samplings on the
- other, so I'm not sure.
- 14 Q. But looking at it as you sit here today

- that doesn't jog your memory about what it was for or
- 16 what it says about the site or anything like at that?
- 17 A. No, sir, I'm not sure.
- 18 Q. Looking at your point number one, which
- 19 begins downstream end of channel, I believe your
- 20 testimony was that when you took those measurements,
- 21 you were standing in water; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay, how much water?
- A. Three inches.

- 1 Q. Okay. And how big of an area was that
- 2 water?
- 3 A. That water was within in that three-foot
- 4 bottom width. So it was contained within that
- 5 three feet. Three inches of water was contained
- 6 within three-foot width.
- 7 Q. Okay, so that was like three-foot square
- 8 then?
- 9 A. No, sir. It's three-foot wide channel.
- 10 We're talking lineal length of channel, three-foot
- 11 wide, three inches deep, flowing in the channel.
- 12 Q. Okay, so when you say flowing, what do

- 13 you mean by that?
- 14 A. It means -- I'm not sure I can describe
- 15 flowing, going through the channel.
- 16 Q. If you looked back upstream through the
- 17 Hesers's channel, would you see a continuous band of
- 18 water --
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- (continuing) all the way?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And how wide would that have been out
- 23 there?
- 24 A. It varied with the channel configuration.

- 1 So it would go from maybe a foot wide to 3-foot wide.
- 2 It varies with the channel bottom, because you've got
- 3 shelving going on in some places, got pools in other
- 4 places. So there's a lot of variability in the flow
- 5 depending on the configuration of the channel.
- 6 Q. Okay, now if you turn and look the other
- 7 way, going downstream, could you see a continuous
- 8 line of water?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. At this location Number 1, what were the

11	side	slopes	of	the	bank?	Can	you	describe	those	for

- me, please?
- 13 A. The side slopes, you had some slope to
- 14 them because you had a bottom width and a top width.
- 15 So you had that configuration, that U or V-shaped
- 16 channel configuration, like I drew of the cross
- 17 section the other day.
- 18 So you have that V or U-shape kind of a
- 19 look to it and then the sides of the banks
- themselves, like in those photographs, you had some
- 21 vegetation, trees growing within, channel roots
- coming out of the bank and going down to the channel
- 23 bottom.
- You had the ordinary high water mark on

- 1 the bank up to a point. You had leaf debris and
- 2 things of that nature.
- 3 Q. What kind of vegetation do you remember
- 4 seeing on the banks at this location Number one?
- 5 A. At location Number one the only
- 6 vegetation that really sticks out in my mind is trees
- 7 and tree roots. That's all I can recall.
- Q. No grasses or anything like that?

- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. At location Number two, Number two which
- is also downstream from location one; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. If you looked further down downstream,
- 14 could you see a continuous body of water?
- 15 A. From Number two?
- Q. From number two.
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And then if you turned around and looked
- back upstream, was there a continuous body of water
- in the channel?
- 21 A. Yes, sir. I was looking back over point
- Number one at that point as well.
- Q. How far is point two from point one?
- A. I'm not sure, maybe 50 feet.

- 1 Q. Do you recall what the weather was on
- 2 that day?
- A. No, sir, other than it was cold.
- Q. Because this is February?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Do you recall any precipitation on or

- 7 before that day?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Now, let's look at point Number three,
- 10 now you're back upstream of the new channel.
- Now when you took those measurements,
- were you standing in water there as well?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How big of an area was that water you
- were standing in at that time?
- A. I'm not sure. I have six and a half foot
- 17 bottom width here. So I would say with that kind of
- a bottom width, you had more shaping and more channel
- 19 contouring going on.
- I have a note here that there was seven
- 21 inches of water in the middle of the channel. So it
- 22 was deeper on the upstream end. But I'm not sure of
- the width, because of channel variability. I'm not
- 24 sure.

- 1 Q. How about vegetation at that location
- 2 along the banks -- or the slopes, I'm sorry, the
- 3 slopes I mean?
- A. On the bank, the vegetation, I can't

- 5 recall specifically. I know there was an ordinary
- 6 high water mark. And at some point there was the
- 7 vegetation above that. Above the ordinary high water
- 8 mark, I had vegetation.
- 9 Q. And what type of vegetation would that
- 10 be?
- 11 A. I'm not sure. I can't recall the
- 12 vegetation types. I know that there were roots and
- 13 trees that actually stick out in my mind. But I
- don't remember the herbaceous vegetation.
- I mean, you have it growing over the
- 16 banks. I just can't recall species.
- Q. Well, that's certainly understandable.
- 18 It was seven years ago?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you identify for me what the grade or
- 21 percentage of slope it was? Was it straight up and
- down or was it a gentle slope at location
- Number three?
- A. Location Number three, you're going from

- 1 a six and a half foot bottom width to a 15-foot top
- 2 width. So you have a -- whatever difference that is

- on both sides. That's your steepness.
- 4 You're what 6 to 12 -- no, 6 to 15, so
- 5 you've increased by nine feet. So four and a half
- 6 feet on each side then.
- 7 So that would be -- to get depth -- I'm
- 8 not sure. I'd have to calculate what the depth is
- 9 and then that difference in slope.
- 10 Q. And that's a calculation we can could by
- just looking at these numbers?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. In your line of work, would you commonly
- 14 refer to that as being steep or gentle or something
- in between?
- 16 A. For a natural channel, I would say it
- 17 would be typical.
- Q. Why don't you look at point Number four
- 19 which is also upstream point, 35 feet upstream from
- 20 Point three; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Now were you standing in water there?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How much water?

- 1 A. I'm not sure. I don't have a note on the
- 2 depth.
- 3 Q. Do you recall though standing in some
- 4 water or mud?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, I was in water the whole time
- 6 in the channel.
- 7 Q. Okay. Looking at sort of the new channel
- 8 notation here, did you observe water in a continuous
- 9 band all the way down throughout the new channel?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And was that water moving?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How do you know it was moving?
- 14 A. I observed it moving. I could see it
- 15 flowing.
- Q. Do you have a rate of flow?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you take any water samples when you
- were there on the 15th?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you do any kind of flow measurements
- when you were there on the 15th?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. I note that on this, this page, you have

- 1 clearing started in Spring of '99.
- Now prior to February of 2000, you had
- 3 never been to the site, correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. So you have no personal knowledge of any
- 6 clearing on the site; is that correct?
- 7 A. This is strictly from the information
- 8 that we had gathered prior to the site visit from
- 9 just our pre-site visit investigation, the Complaint
- 10 referral.
- But I had not been to the site before
- 12 this points, so that's from that.
- Q. So that's accurate? You had no personal
- 14 knowledge of what the site looked like before
- 15 February 15, 2000?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. You also at some point in your testimony
- 18 referenced what may have been -- I think you called
- it a meandering channel that went across the Heser
- 20 property; do you remember that?
- 21 A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand.
- 22 Q. I believe in your testimony you indicated
- 23 that you believed there was a distinct channel that
- 24 went across the Heser property?

Sullivan Reporting Company
Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

- 1 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Again, you have no personal knowledge of
- 3 that, isn't that correct?
- A. I did not personally see it, no, I did
- 5 not.
- Q. Okay. What's the definition of
- 7 intermittent?
- 8 A. Intermittent refers to the hydrologic
- 9 regime of a channel in terms of its flow, frequency
- 10 and duration.
- 11 The Corps of Engineers has a regulatory
- 12 program where we divide up channels into three
- different hydrologic regimes, intermittent, femoral
- 14 and perennial.
- 15 Q. I'm sorry?
- 16 A. Intermittent and perennial.
- Q. Okay, what's the difference between all
- 18 those three?
- 19 A. Perennial would flow most of the time,
- 20 dominant ground water influence. Intermittent
- 21 streams, their flow from both precipitation and have
- 22 groundwater influence.
- The femoral streams have no groundwater

- 1 few hours.
- 2 Q. How would you determine if -- well,
- 3 strike that.
- 4 Martin Branch is identified as an
- 5 intermittent stream, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. To get to that designation, do you know
- 8 if anyone went out and observed to see if there was
- 9 any groundwater flow that was coming into the stream?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Certainly you didn't take any samples or
- 12 analysis in terms of groundwater at the site,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Just my soil borings.
- Q. And those weren't actually in the
- 16 channel, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. In the definition of an intermittent
- 19 stream, is that a definition in terms of flow --
- 20 well, what does that definition mean in terms of
- 21 flow? Constant? Sometimes?

22 A. It really didn't -- it doesn't give you a 23 length or duration. Intermittent just means that it flows seasonally or a certain period. Certain parts 24 Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705 206 of the year it flows. 1 Q. You indicated at one point in your 3 testimony that you observed fish in the Martin 4 Branch; do you recall that? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Where did you make that observation? 7 A. That was the downstream end, below the project site, from the road. Where the channel goes 8 9 under the road, right next to the site, on the 10 downstream then. 11 Q. How far away is that location from where 12 the construction work -- well, how far away is that 13 from when the channel leaves the Heser property? 14 A. I don't know exactly. I would estimate 15 200 feet, 300 feet. Q. And was this on your first site visit, 16 17 the one in February or was that later? A. This was on the first site visit. 18 19 Q. What kind of fish was it, do you know?

20 A. I don't know, sir. 21 Q. Big fish, minnow, what can you tell me 22 about it? 23 I would say they were small. A. 24 O. A inch or smaller? Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705 207 1 A. Yes, we're talking --Q. Minnows? 2 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Now I believe you indicated you had been at the site three times in total; is that correct? 5 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. I think we only talked about the one time 8 during your cross-examination; is that correct? 9 A. Yes, sir. Did you observe any fish on either of 10 your latter two site visits? 11 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. When was the second time you went to the 14 site? 15 A. The second time was when I accompanied Greg Carlson. 16 17 Q. Was anyone else there at the time?

- 18 A. Just myself, Katherine Kelly, and Greg
- 19 Carlson.
- Q. And when was this site visit?
- 21 A. I don't recall the exact date.
- Q. Approximation?
- 23 A. I'm not sure. It was after my site
- visit. It was after the referral. It was after the

- 1 referral to EPA.
- Q. And the referral was in 2002?
- 3 A. 2002.
- 4 Q. January of 2002?
- 5 A. I believe so.
- Q. What time of year was it?
- 7 A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Was it cold? Was it hot? Do you
- 9 remember wearing a coat?
- 10 A. I'm not sure.
- 11 Q. Did you prepare any written document of
- 12 your site visit?
- 13 A. No, I let Greg -- I basically helped him
- 14 with the sampling and pointed out where to him,
- 15 here's where I took my samples. And basically let

- 16 him call the shots, and I was just kind of there to
- 17 help out.
- 18 Q. Did you observe any water in the channel
- 19 that the Hesers had constructed?
- 20 A. On my second site visit?
- Q. On the second site visit, correct.
- 22 A. I don't recall, and I didn't make any
- 23 notes.
- Q. Do you recall whether there was any water

- in the upstream portion of Martin Branch, beyond
- 2 where Hesers constructed their channel?
- A. I don't recall anything like that.
- 4 Q. Did you go up there to that location?
- 5 A. Upstream?
- Q. Upstream of the Heser channel.
- 7 A. Yes, sir. We were both on the downstream
- 8 and upstream end. We walked pretty much the entire
- 9 area.
- 10 Q. Did you walk through the channel?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. So does that refresh your recollection
- whether there was any water in it?

- A. No, sir, I'm sorry.
- Q. How about downstream? Do you recall any
- water in the downstream channel?
- 17 A. No, sir, I do not.
- 18 Q. You just don't recall?
- 19 A. I just don't.
- Q. Do you recall seeing any wildlife at the
- 21 Heser site during that visit?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. Were you particularly looking for any on
- 24 that visit?

- 1 A. I always have my eyes open. So if I had
- 2 seen a deer, I probably would have remembered it.
- 3 Q. What if you saw a frog, would you make a
- 4 mental note of that?
- 5 A. Probably not.
- 6 Q. On this visit, your second visit, did you
- 7 take any water samples?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Did you take any sludge?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Now you did indicate, you or Mr. Carlson

- 12 took some soil borings?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What was the purpose of taking the soil
- borings, if you know?
- 16 A. The soil borings were basically to
- 17 conduct the actual wetland delineation. To be able
- 18 to attach an area to the wetlands.
- 19 Q. And who ultimately performed the wetland
- 20 delineation?
- 21 A. It was Mr. Carlson.
- Q. When was the third time you were on the
- 23 site?
- 24 A. The third time was approximately a month

- 1 ago -- actually, I shouldn't say I was on the site.
- 2 I was adjacent to the site actually.
- Q. Why didn't you go on to the site?
- 4 A. I did not have permission.
- 5 Q. The second time you were there, did you
- 6 have permission to go on the site?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were the Hesers there during that site
- 9 inspection?

- 10 A. I don't recall them being there in the
- 11 beginning. They arrived later on to discuss -- or
- 12 them and their attorney arrived later.
- 13 Q. And their attorney was not myself or
- 14 Mr. Small, was it?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. The first time you were at the site, were
- the Hesers there?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And this February 15th. Now let me show
- 20 you: This is Bobby and Andy Heser. Were these the
- 21 gentlemen that were there on your first site visit?
- 22 A. I only remember there were more people
- there when we were on the site.
- Q. But you remember both of these gentlemen

- being there?
- 2 A. I don't remember both of them.
- 3 Q. Which one do you remember being there?
- 4 A. The gentleman in the blue.
- 5 Q. That's Bobby.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. On your third visit, you indicated you

- 8 did not go on the Heser property on that visit; is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Did you go downstream from the Heser
- 12 property?
- 13 A. Well, I was -- I pulled the car over on
- 14 the road on the downstream end, so I was at the
- 15 downstream end.
- 16 Q. Did you observe the channel at that
- 17 point?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Was there any water in it?
- 20 A. There was water in the channel, yes, sir.
- Q. At that location where you turned your
- 22 car around?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How far away is that from where the

- 1 channel leaves the Heser property?
- 2 A. A couple hundred feet.
- 3 Q. How much water was there?
- A. I don't recall. There was a pool there.
- 5 That's where I had originally seen fish in the

- 6 channel.
- 7 Q. Did you see fish there this time, on your
- 8 third visit?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. Did you take any water samples?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Did you do any kind of sampling at all on
- 13 that visit?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- Q. Who was there with you on that visit?
- 16 A. I was there with a group of people from
- 17 EPA.
- Q. And who was in that group?
- 19 A. There was Greg Carlson, Tom Martin,
- 20 Chrissy, Wendy. There were a couple others, one or
- 21 two other people. I don't recall names.
- Q. How about Mr. Bill Heser, was he there?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you meet Bill Heser at all on that

- 1 day?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- 3 Q. Did you go upstream from the Andy and

- 4 Bobby Heser channel?
- 5 A. I walked upstream on the -- Bill Hesers.
- Q. Did you have his permission?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How about Danny Heser, was he there?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. Do you know who Danny Heser is?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Was there water in upstream portion of
- 13 the channel?
- 14 A. I don't recall seeing any water in that
- 15 channel.
- JUDGE MORAN: And, Counsel, help me out,
- which date are you referring to when he was going
- 18 upstream to Bill Hesers'?
- 19 MR. NORTHRUP: This is the third site visit.
- 20 JUDGE MORAN: Is that right, you were stating
- 21 the third site visit?
- MR. NORTHRUP: Yes, sir, approximately a
- 23 month ago.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I didn't walk to the upstream

- 2 BY MR. NORTHRUP:
- 3 Q. I'm sorry?
- A. I did not walk up to the upstream of the
- 5 project.
- Q. What did do you up there?
- 7 A. I just walked to the downstream end of
- 8 the project.
- 9 Q. Oh, okay. When you say project, you mean
- 10 the Heser channel work?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. So you did not go east and then north up
- 13 to where the Heser channel began?
- 14 A. That's correct, I did not.
- Q. From your vantage point, could you
- observe any water in the Heser channel?
- 17 A. No, sir. We couldn't get close enough.
- 18 There was only one point where we were actually close
- 19 enough to see water in the channel, below the project
- 20 area. We did not see water at that time.
- Q. Now, I'm confused. Where was this one
- 22 point that you were just talking about where you
- 23 didn't see water?
- A. Just below the project, the Heser

- 1 channel.
- Q. Not in the channel, the Heser channel?
- 3 A. That is correct, because I never got to
- 4 an advantage point to see that.
- 5 Q. How far away was this point from where
- the Heser channel left there?
- 7 A. The Heser -- probably 15 25 feet.
- 8 Q. Now was this just a pool?
- 9 A. No, sir, there was no water at that
- 10 point.
- 11 Q. The pool was down at the other end where
- 12 you turned your car around?
- 13 A. It was downstream of the road.
- Q. Downstream?
- 15 A. By the road.
- 16 Q. Earlier in your testimony, you referred
- 17 to the Hesers as flagrant violators. Is that a term
- of art or is that just your characterization?
- 19 A. That's a term -- I only used that because
- 20 that's the term that's provided in our Memorandum of
- 21 Agreement with EPA on types of cases to refer.
- They use the term repeat violator, they
- 23 use the term flagrant violator. These are
- terminologies actually used for enforcement cases.

```
1 Q. And that's set out in this memorandum?
```

- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. Is that defined what a flagrant violator
- 4 is?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Can you tell me that definition is?
- 7 A. A flagrant violator would be somebody who
- 8 we've determined has a knowledge of the permit
- 9 program.
- 10 Q. And, again, what's your basis for the
- 11 understanding that the Hesers had knowledge of the
- 12 permit program?
- 13 A. I had sent them a letter a few years
- prior to this and informed them of two previous
- violations. This was in 1996, I believe.
- So based upon that, that I had informed
- 17 them at that time, I determined that they were repeat
- 18 and flagrant violators.
- 19 Q. And is that your letter of December 22,
- 20 1996; is that the letter you're talking about?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I believe that was a part of Respondent's
- 23 Exhibit 8?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

1	Q. That would be Bates number CX 61?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	MR. NORTHRUP: Can I approach, your Honor?
4	JUDGE MORAN: Yes.
5	BY MR. NORTHRUP:
6	Q. Now you testified earlier that this was
7	sent certified mail, correct?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Let me show you, and I will represent to
LO	the Court that what I'm holding in my hand is the
L1	file that was provided to me last night to take a
L2	look at, the full file that wasn't all included in
L3	Exhibit 8.
L 4	JUDGE MORAN: This was a file provided to you
L5	by EPA?
L6	MR. NORTHRUP: Correct.
L7	BY MR. NORTHRUP:
L8	Q. Mr. Lenz, let me show you two green
L9	cards. Can you tell me what those are?
20	A. Yes, sir. These are the basically our

Q. And those are the cards that went out

21 certified mail receipts.

- with your letter of December 22, 1996?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. And who are they addressed to?
- 2 A. One is addressed to Robert Heser and one
- 3 is addressed to Andrew Heser.
- Q. And is there a date when those were
- 5 received?
- 6 A. December 27, '96.
- 7 Q. Okay. Who signed for those letters?
- 8 A. They were signed by Gene or Dean -- yeah,
- 9 Gene Heser.
- 10 Q. They were not signed by Robert or Andrew
- Heser; is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- MR. NORTHRUP: Your Honor, these are not
- 14 previously marked but at this point I would like to
- mark them.
- JUDGE MORAN: Yes.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I would, if I could, mark them
- 18 as Heser Exhibit 25.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- MR. NORTHRUP: And would seek for their

21	admission.
22	JUDGE MORAN: Do you want to mark them 25A
23	and B?
24	MR. NORTHRUP: Okay.
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	220
1	(WHEREUPON, said documents were
2	duly marked for purposes of
3	identification as Heser Exhibits
4	25A and 25B, as of this date.)
5	JUDGE MORAN: Let's note for the record that
6	Counsel for EPA has agreed that they will take care
7	of making copies of Heser Exhibit 25, which is
8	stapled together, two pages of return green cards
9	from certified mail, correct?
10	MR. NORTHRUP: Correct.
11	MS. PELLEGRIN: No objection.
12	JUDGE MORAN: Okay, so we'll pick up tomorrow
13	morning at 9 A.M. with continuing cross-examination.
14	Okay, see you all in the morning.
15	(WHEREUPON the hearing in this
16	matter was continued to
17	Wednesday, March 28, 2007 at
18	Carlyle Courthouse, Carlyle,

19	Illinois.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705
	221
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS
2	COUNTY OF SANGAMON)
3	CASE NO. CWA-05-2006-0002
4	TITLE: In The Matter of: ROBERT J. HESER, ANDREW HESER and HESER FARMS
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Lori Bernardy, do hereby certify that I am a
7	court reporter contracted by Sullivan Reporting
8	Company of Chicago, Illinois; that I reported in
9	shorthand the evidence taken and proceedings had on
10	the hearing on the above-entitled case on the 27th
11	day of March, 2007; that the foregoing pages are a
12	true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
13	taken as aforesaid and contain all of the proceedings
14	directed by the US EPA or other persons authorized by
15	it to conduct the said hearing to be so
16	stenographically reported.

17	Dated at Springfield, Illinois, on this
18	12th day of April, A.D., 2007.
19	
20	_Lori Bernardy Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	Certified Shorthand Reporter
22	
23	
24	
	Sullivan Reporting Company Two North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 782-4705